



LegalSourceTM Audit Report for Greenheart (Suriname) N.V.

Assessment 2020

Report date: 25 de marzo de 2020

Certificate code: NC-LS-057290

Issued date: June 11, 2020

Organisation Contact

Varsha Sewdien, Compliance officer Duisburglaan 31, Paramaribo, Suriname.

Audit managed by

NEPCon Mesoamérica 12 Calle 1-25, Centro Empresarial Géminis 10, Torre Sur, Oficina 814, Ciudad de Guatemala, Guatemala Contact person: Adolfo Lemus Email: alemus@nepcon.org



LegalSource Audit Report Template:		
Document Code:	LS-03	
Type of document:	LegalSource Audit Report Template	
Scope:	International	
Status of document:	Approved	
Version:	V 2.1	
Date:	23 January 2018	
Consultation period:	N/A	
Approval body:	NEPCon	
Contact person:	Darren Brown, Forest Legality Programme Manager	
Contact email:	db@nepcon.org	

Contents

A. INTRODUCTION	4
B. SCOPE	4
C. AUDIT FINDINGS	8
D. CLOSED NON-CONFORMANCES	13
E. LEGALSOURCE DUE DILIGENCE CHECKLIST (CONFIDENTIAL)	19
F. LEGAL COMPLIANCE AT THE FOREST LEVEL (CONFIDENTIAL)	30
Standard Checklist	32
G. LEGAL COMPLIANCE IN THE SUPPLY CHAIN (CONFIDENTIAL)	51
Standard Checklist	51
H. CHAIN OF CUSTODY (COC) (CONFIDENTIAL)	59
STANDARD CHECKLIST	59
APPENDIX 1: EXHIBIT LIST (CONFIDENTIAL)	65
APPENDIX 2: CVA REPORT	66

A. Introduction

The purpose of this report is to document conformance with the requirements of the LegalSource standard by **GREENHEART (SURINAME) N.V.** hereafter referred to as "Organisation". The report presents findings of LegalSource auditors, who have evaluated the Organization's systems and performance against the applicable requirements. The sections below provide the audit conclusions and follow-up actions required by the Organisation.

Dispute resolution: If stakeholders have concerns or comments about the LegalSource standard or the auditing body, they are encouraged to contact their closest NEPCon regional office. Formal concerns and complaints should be sent in writing.

B. Scope

The LegalSource audit, report and certificate covers the following scope:

Report Type		
Report type:	Confidential	

Organisation Details		
Primary contact:	Varsha Sewdien, Compliance officer	
Address:	Duisburglaan 31, Paramaribo, Suriname	
Tel/Web/Email:	(597) 463327 Ext. 223 / www.greenheart.com / varshasewdien@greenheartgroup.com	
Jurisdiction of primary legal entity:	Paramaribo, Suriname	
Primary Activity	Primary Manufacturer	
Description of Organisation:	The company was established in 2003, under the name Octagon International N.V. i.o.; in 2004 the company changed into a limited company, Octagon Internatioal N.V.; in 2011 the name was changed into Greenheart (Suriname) N.V. (GHS N.V.).	
	GHS N.V. is the operating forest entity in several concessions in the western area of Suriname. At the moment an area of approximately 185,104 ha is being managed by GHS N.V. A sawmill in the Apura and a further processing facility in Leiding, are also part of the activities of GHS N.V. The current activities of the company are forest harvesting, processing, export of round logs and sawn timber and local sales (sawn timber). Export sales is also taking place using two trader companies of Greenheart group.	
	At forest operation, the planning and monitoring is made by the staff while felling, skidding and hauling is made by one contractor listed in the scope.	

Certificate Scope	
Certificate Type	☑ Single site certificate
	☐ Group/ Multi-site certificate

Standards Evaluated:	✓ LegalSource Standard (LS-02) V2-1 ✓ NEPCon Generic Chain of Custody Standard (NC-STD-01) □ NEPCon Generic Group & Multi-Site Standard (NC-STD-02)	
Product scope:	Round wood and lumber of the following species: Cordia alliodora; Cordia goeldiana; Dicorynia guianensis; Qualea rosea Aubl.; Manilkara bidentata; Vouacapoua americana Aubl.; Hydrochorea corymbosa; Hydrochorea gonggrijpii; Cedrela odorata; Buchenavia tetraphylla (Aubl.); Terminalia spp.; Cedrelinga cateniformis; Zygia racemosa; Vatairea guianensis; Qualea dinizii; Handroanthus serratifolius; Qualea albiflora; Pradosia spp.; Couratari guianensis; Couratari spp.; Vataireopsis speciosa; Bagassa guianensis; Aspidosperma sandwithianum; Aspidosperma spp.; Pradosia ptychandra; Pradosia surinamensis; Platymiscium trinitatis.; Platymiscium ulei; Goupia glabra; Andira spp.; Qualea coerulea; Hymenolobium flavum; Hymenolobium excelsum; Handroanthus capitatus; Mora excelsa; Peltogyne paniculata; Erisma uncinatum; Platonia insignis; Pseudopiptadenia suaveolens; Peltogyne venosa; Hymenaea courbaril L.; Andira surinamensis; Andira villosa; Andira coriacea; Andira inermis; Andira spp.; Simarouba amara; Eperua falcata; Sextonia rubra; Martiodendron parviflorum; Vataireopsis surinamensis; Diplotropis purpurea.	
Changes to certificate scope since last audit:	N/A	

Certificate Sites or Group members In place of below table, details are found in Exhibit [ENTER NUMBER OF EXHIBIT]		
Site 1:		
Site name:	Greenheart (Suriname) N.V.	
	NOTE: This legal entity includes de Forest Management Units, Apura sawmill and Leiding sawmill (secondary processing and storage site)	
Site Address/ Tel/Web/Email:	Duisburglaan no. 31, Paramaribo, Suriname / (597) 463-327 / http://www.greenheartgroup.com / varshasewdien@greenheartgroup.com	
Site Activity:	Forest Manager, Primary manufacturer, Secondary Manufacturer	
Product Scope:	Round wood and lumber of the following species: Cordia alliodora; Cordia goeldiana; Dicorynia guianensis; Qualea rosea Aubl.; Manilkara bidentata; Vouacapoua americana Aubl.; Hydrochorea corymbosa; Hydrochorea gonggrijpii; Cedrela odorata; Buchenavia tetraphylla (Aubl.); Terminalia spp.; Cedrelinga cateniformis; Zygia racemosa; Vatairea guianensis; Qualea dinizii; Handroanthus serratifolius; Qualea albiflora; Pradosia spp.; Couratari guianensis; Couratari spp.; Vataireopsis speciosa; Bagassa guianensis; Aspidosperma sandwithianum; Aspidosperma spp.; Pradosia ptychandra; Pradosia surinamensis; Platymiscium trinitatis.; Platymiscium ulei; Goupia glabra; Andira spp.; Qualea coerulea; Hymenolobium flavum; Hymenolobium excelsum; Handroanthus capitatus; Mora excelsa; Peltogyne paniculata; Erisma uncinatum; Platonia insignis; Pseudopiptadenia suaveolens; Peltogyne venosa; Hymenaea courbaril L.; Andira surinamensis; Andira villosa; Andira coriacea; Andira inermis; Andira spp.; Simarouba amara; Eperua falcata; Sextonia rubra; Martiodendron parviflorum; Vataireopsis surinamensis; Diplotropis purpurea.	
Site visited during audit:		

Site 2		
Site name:	Caps Houtmaatschappij N.V.	
Site Address/ Tel/Web/Email:	Nicolaas Gudsstraat 26, Wanica, Suriname / (597) 463-327 / http://www.greenheartgroup.com / varshasewdien@greenheartgroup.com	
Site Activity:	Broker/trader without physical handling	
Product Scope	Round wood and lumber of the following species: Cordia alliodora; Cordia goeldiana; Dicorynia guianensis; Qualea rosea Aubl.; Manilkara bidentata; Vouacapoua americana Aubl.; Hydrochorea corymbosa; Hydrochorea gonggrijpii; Cedrela odorata; Buchenavia tetraphylla (Aubl.); Terminalia spp.; Cedrelinga cateniformis; Zygia racemosa; Vatairea guianensis; Qualea dinizii; Handroanthus serratifolius; Qualea albiflora; Pradosia spp.; Couratari guianensis; Couratari spp.; Vataireopsis speciosa; Bagassa guianensis; Aspidosperma sandwithianum; Aspidosperma spp.; Pradosia ptychandra; Pradosia surinamensis; Platymiscium trinitatis.; Platymiscium ulei; Goupia glabra; Andira spp.; Qualea coerulea; Hymenolobium flavum; Hymenolobium excelsum; Handroanthus capitatus; Mora excelsa; Peltogyne paniculata; Erisma uncinatum; Platonia insignis; Pseudopiptadenia suaveolens; Peltogyne venosa; Hymenaea courbaril L.; Andira surinamensis; Andira villosa; Andira coriacea; Andira inermis; Andira spp.; Simarouba amara; Eperua falcata; Sextonia rubra; Martiodendron parviflorum; Vataireopsis surinamensis; Diplotropis purpurea.	
Site visited during audit:	☑	
Site 3		
Site name:	Tasks Lumber Company N.V.	
Site Address/ Tel/Web/Email:	Nicolaas Gudsstraat 26, Wanica, Suriname / (597) 463-327 / http://www.greenheartgroup.com// varshasewdien@greenheartgroup.com	
Site Activity:	Broker/trader without physical handling	
Product Scope	Round wood and lumber of the following species: Cordia alliodora; Cordia goeldiana; Dicorynia guianensis; Qualea rosea Aubl.; Manilkara bidentata; Vouacapoua americana Aubl.; Hydrochorea corymbosa; Hydrochorea gonggrijpii; Cedrela odorata; Buchenavia tetraphylla (Aubl.); Terminalia spp.; Cedrelinga cateniformis; Zygia racemosa; Vatairea guianensis; Qualea dinizii; Handroanthus serratifolius; Qualea albiflora; Pradosia spp.; Couratari guianensis; Couratari spp.; Vataireopsis speciosa; Bagassa guianensis; Aspidosperma sandwithianum; Aspidosperma spp.; Pradosia ptychandra; Pradosia surinamensis; Platymiscium trinitatis.; Platymiscium ulei; Goupia glabra; Andira spp.; Qualea coerulea; Hymenolobium flavum; Hymenolobium excelsum; Handroanthus capitatus; Mora excelsa; Peltogyne paniculata; Erisma uncinatum; Platonia insignis; Pseudopiptadenia suaveolens; Peltogyne venosa; Hymenaea courbaril L.; Andira surinamensis; Andira villosa; Andira coriacea; Andira inermis; Andira spp.; Simarouba amara; Eperua falcata; Sextonia rubra; Martiodendron parviflorum; Vataireopsis surinamensis; Diplotropis purpurea.	
Site visited during audit:	☑	

Evaluation Process	
Audit team:	Cristina Vidal, Lead Auditor and CVA auditor

Paraguayan based in Costa Rica; Forestry Engineer; in 2005 she received a M.Sc. in Tropical Forest Management and Biodiversity Conservation from CATIE (Costa Rica). She has developed experience working in protected areas, ecosystem restoration, commercial plantations, silviculture, forestry production, ecology monitoring. She has received training as a lead auditor under ISO 14001:2004, and FSC formal training.

Carlos Jimenez, Auditor

Forest Engineer (Technical University of Madrid, Spain) and Master in Rural Development. Carlos holds 8-year experience in natural resources management and forest industry in Mexico and Central America as a consultant. He has completed with NEPCon +30 audits and has been trained as a Forest Management and Chain of Custody FSC auditor.

Giani Razab-Sekh, Observer

Forestry Project Assistant currently working part time on a FAO silvicultural project in Suriname. BS.c Forestry.

Miquel Betrouw, Translator

Last year Bachelor of Forestry Science student at the Anton de Kom Universiteit of Suriname. Prior to the University he has experience as a surveyor in a mining multinational in Suriname.

Description of Audit Process:

This visit corresponds to Greenheart (Suriname) 's assessment against the standards LegalSource Standard (LS-02) V2-1 and NEPCon Generic Chain of Custody Standard (NC-STD-01) and took place on-site from 6th to 12th February 2020. The audit started with an opening meeting, attended by Compliance Officer (responsible for LS standard implementation) and other department heads (Forestry, Sales, Exports, Finance, Human Resources, etc.). NEPCon lead auditor explained the scope, objectives and procedures of the audit as well as audit procedures.

After the opening meeting, the organization submitted the updated version of the Due Diligence System (DDS), as well as other procedural documents (Chain of Custody Handbook, operative SOPs, etc.); and the audit team began the document revision applicable to the standards (licenses, taxes, procedures, harvesting permits, etc.). Leiding site (secondary processing and storage) was also visited.

Regarding the field visit, concession 733, the only one active at the moment, was visited, were workers were interviewed and forest management activities in place were inspected. Primary processing site (Apura sawmill) was visited and traceability samples for logs and lumber were taken.

The visit continued in organization's office in Paramaribo, were the documentation review were completed with different departments: Human Resources, Exports, Finance, Forest Management, etc. Organization's forest operation contractors were interviewed. Additionally, by stakeholders' interviews, auditors confirmed findings and doubts regarding the application of legality requirements with SBB and labor officers. Additionally documentation, procedures and managing system for the two trading companies (Caps Houtmaatschappij N.V. and Tasks Lumber Company N.V.) were reviewed at the office.

The on-site visit was concluded with a closing meeting for the presentation of the preliminary findings.

Corrective Action Verification Audit:

The audit was carried out through a skype call where an exhaustive interview was done to the Forestry Planning manager and the Compliance officer against the Major NCRs listed below.

After the call, GFC submitted the updated documents with all the corresponding exhibits. The auditor reviewed the exhibits and prepared the draft report.

Actions taken by Organisation prior to report finalization:	Re submission of DDS, manuals and CoC handbook.	
Notes for the next audit:	GHS should document all the evidences to comply with overall legal requirements asked in these standards and re submit its DDS clarifying its risk assessment and mitigation measures in detail.	

C. Audit Findings

Audit Conclusion:	
Organisation approved:	\square
Organisation not approved: Conformance with MAJOR non-conformance(s) required	
Additional comments: GHS did not submit its risk assessment and mitigation measures p There are some legal categories that are not complied in Annex 1 and 2. Both issues sh attended prior certification.	' '
<i>CVA updates</i> : A Corrective Action Verificaton Audit (CVA) had been conducted. 05 MAJO have been closed.	R NCRs

Non-Conformances

Non-conformance reports (NCRs) describe the non-conformances identified during audits. NCRs include defined timelines for the Organisation to demonstrate conformance. MAJOR non-conformances issued during assessments/reassessments shall be closed prior to issuance of the certificate. MAJOR non-conformances issued during annual audits shall be closed within the timeline specified in the NCR or result in certificate suspension. Where applicable, all non-conformances against standard requirements are shown below:

Non-Conformance #:	MAJOR 01/20		
Non-Conformance Grading:	MAJOR ☑	Minor 🗆	
Standard & Requirement:	LegalSource standard (LS-02) V2-1; requirement: 3.1		
Description of Non-conforman	Description of Non-conformance:		
3.1. The Organisation shall Standard.	3.1. The Organisation shall have written procedures covering all applicable elements of this Standard.		
GHS submitted its DDS (Exhibit 02) to cover all requirements of this standard. However, there are some sections which are not covered by the DDS in principles 7 and 8.			
Corrective action request:	Organisation shall implement corrective actions to demonstrate conformance with the requirement(s) referenced above. *Note: Effective corrective actions focus on addressing the specific occurrence described in evidence above, as well as the root cause to eliminate and prevent recurrence of the non-conformance.		
Timeline for Conformance:	Prior to certificate issuance		
Evidence Provided by Organisation:	PENDING		

Findings for Evaluation of Evidence:	PENDING	
NCR Status:	OPEN	
Comments (optional):	PENDING	
Non-Conformance #:	MAJOR 02/20	
Non-Conformance Grading:	MAJOR ☑	Minor
Standard & Requirement:	LegalSource standard (LS-02) V2-1; req	uirements: 7.3, 7.4, 7.7, 7.8
Description of Non-conforman		
7.3 The Organisation shall assess and specify the level of risk of illegal forest products being produced or entering the supply chain, including the following: NOTE: The Organisation shall ensure that risk assessment is conducted for all the Organisations own entities as well as all supply chain within the scope of the DDS. 7.3.1 risk of legal violations related to forest harvesting activities; 7.3.2 risk of legal violations in relation to trade and transport of the products; and 7.3.3 risk that the material is mixed with material of illegal or unknown origin somewhere during transport, processing or storage. 7.4 The Organisation shall assess relevant forest source or supply chain information to ensure that: 7.4.1 information is relevant to indicate legality and ensure compliance with this standard; 7.4.2 information is valid and verifiable; and, 7.4.3 information can be related to the relevant product or supply chain. 7.7 The Organisation shall document the risk assessment process and provide justification for the level of risk specified for each individual origin or supply chain. 7.8 The risk assessment shall determine the level of risk as either low risk or specified risk. GHS did not complete its risk assessment, only provided some evidences to show compliances		
Corrective action request:	Organisation shall implement corrective actions to demonstrate conformance with the requirement(s) referenced above. **Note: Effective corrective actions focus on addressing the specific occurrence described in evidence above, as well as the root cause to eliminate and prevent recurrence of the non-conformance.	
Timeline for Conformance:	Prior to certificate issuance	
Evidence Provided by	PENDING	
Organisation:		
Findings for Evaluation of Evidence:	PENDING	
NCR Status:	OPEN	
Comments (optional):	PENDING	
Non-Conformance #:	MAJOR 03/20	
Non-Conformance Grading:	MAJOR 🗹	Minor

Standard & Requirement: LegalSource standard (LS-02) V2-1; requirement: 8.1, 8.2 **Description of Non-conformance:** The Organisation shall develop and implement efficient and justified measures for mitigating any specified risks for any of the categories in 7.3.1, 7.3.2 or 7.3.3 and shall take the following actions, where applicable: 8.1.1 For specified risk of legal violations related to forest harvesting activities in the country or area of harvest, the Organisation shall ensure compliance with the relevant requirements in Annex 1. 8.1.2 For specified risk of legal violations in relation to transport and trade of the products, including declaration and classification of the material for Customs, the Organisation shall ensure compliance with relevant requirements of Annex 2. 8.1.3 For specified risk of the material being mixed or substituted with other material of illegal or unknown origin somewhere during transport, processing or storage, the Organisation shall ensure appropriate controls. 8.2 The Organisation shall document and justify the effectiveness of risk mitigation measures. GHS did not complete its risk assessment for FM neither for CoC, therefore there was no risk identification related to forest harvest activities, trade and transport and material mixing or substituted with other material of illegal or unknown origin. GHS did not include how to measure the effectiveness of its mitigation measures into the DDS. Corrective action request: Organisation shall implement corrective actions to demonstrate conformance with the requirement(s) referenced above. *Note*: Effective corrective actions focus on addressing the specific occurrence described in evidence above, as well as the root cause to eliminate and prevent recurrence of the non-conformance. Timeline for Conformance: Prior to certificate issuance PENDING **Evidence Provided by** Organisation: Findings for Evaluation of **PENDING** Evidence: NCR Status: **OPEN** Comments (optional): **PENDING** Non-Conformance #: MAJOR 04/20

Non-comormance #:	MAJOR 0-1/20	
Non-Conformance Grading:	MAJOR ☑	Minor
Standard & Requirement:	LegalSource standard (LS-02) V2-1; Ann	ex 1: 3.5.1 & 3.5.2
Description of Non-conforman	ce:	
3.5.1. If legally required, persons involved in forest management/harvesting activities shall be employed under a formal contract. 3.5.2. Persons involved in forest management/harvesting activities shall be covered by obligatory insurances.		
GHS forest operator (contractors workers) did not present a valid work permit/state permit which enable a foreign to work in Surinam.		
GHS contractor's workers did not show evidence of holding health insurance.		
Corrective action request:	Organisation shall implement corrective actions to demonstrate conformance with the requirement(s) referenced above.	

	Note : Effective corrective actions focus on addressing the specific occurrence described in evidence above, as well as the root cause to eliminate and prevent recurrence of the non-conformance.		
Timeline for Conformance:	Prior to certificate issuance		
Evidence Provided by Organisation:	PENDING		
Findings for Evaluation of Evidence:	PENDING		
NCR Status:	OPEN		
Comments (optional):	PENDING		
Non-Conformance #:	05/20		
Non-Conformance Grading:	MAJOR	Minor 🗹	
Standard & Requirement:	LegalSource standard (LS-02) V2-1; Ann	ex 1: 5.2.3	
Description of Non-conforman	ce:		
5.2.3. All required transport	documents shall exist and be docume	ented.	
GHS did not issue VVB for sor	ne logs from concession landing 733 t	o Apura sawmill.	
Corrective action request:	PENDING		
Timeline for Conformance:	12 months (March 25, 2020)		
Evidence Provided by Organisation:	PENDING		
Findings for Evaluation of Evidence:	PENDING		
NCR Status:	OPEN		
Comments (optional):	PENDING		
Non-Conformance #:	MAJOR 06/20		
Non-Conformance Grading:	MAJOR ☑ Minor □		
Standard & Requirement:	NEPCon Generic Chain of Custody Standard V2-0, requirement: 1.2		
Description of Non-conforman	ce:		
1.2 The Organisation shall de with all applicable CoC require	velop and maintain documented proce ements.	edures to ensure compliance	
_	ndbook (version 02/2020) that contain eferences to FSC standards are menti		
Corrective action request:	Organisation shall implement corrective actions to demonstrate conformance with the requirement(s) referenced above.		
	Note : Effective corrective actions focus on addressing the specific occurrence described in evidence above, as well as the root cause to eliminate and prevent recurrence of the non-conformance.		

Timeline for Conformance:	Prior to certificate issuance
Evidence Provided by Organisation:	PENDING
Findings for Evaluation of Evidence:	PENDING
NCR Status:	OPEN
Comments (optional):	PENDING

Observations

No observation is issued.

D. Closed Non-Conformances

Closed Non-Conformances

This section indicates where the Organisation has adequately addressed non-conformances issued during or since the last audit.

Any non-conformances which cannot be closed remain open and appear in Section C (above). Failure to comply with a minor non-conformance results in the NCR being upgraded to major; the specified follow-up action is required by the Organisation or involuntary suspension will take place.

Non-Conformance #:	MAJOR 01/20	
Non-Conformance Grading:	MAJOR ☑	Minor
Standard & Requirement:	LegalSource standard (LS-02) V2-1; requ	uirement: 3.1
Description of Non-conforman	ce:	
3.1. The Organisation shall standard.	have written procedures covering al	Il applicable elements of this
	it 02) to cover all requirements of this overed by the DDS in principles 7 and	
Corrective action request:	Organisation shall implement corrective actions to demonstrate conformance with the requirement(s) referenced above. *Note: Effective corrective actions focus on addressing the specific occurrence described in evidence above, as well as the root cause to eliminate and prevent recurrence of the non-conformance.	
Timeline for Conformance:	Prior to certificate issuance	
Evidence Provided by Organisation:	 Updated version of DDS (Exhibit 0) Updated CoC Handbook (Exhibit 0) CVA interview. 	-
Findings for Evaluation of Evidence:	After the CVA interview, GHS sent its last updated version of the DDS and CoC handbook. Both documents included all the related items requested in the other MAJOR NCRs and incorporate the overall requirements of this standard.	
NCR Status:	CLOSED	
Comments (optional):	NONE	

Non-Conformance #:	MAJOR 02/20	
Non-Conformance Grading:	MAJOR ☑	Minor
Standard & Requirement:	LegalSource standard (LS-02) V2-1; requirements: 7.3, 7.4, 7.7, 7.8	

Description of Non-conformance:

7.3 The Organisation shall assess and specify the level of risk of illegal forest products being produced or entering the supply chain, including the following:

NOTE: The Organisation shall ensure that risk assessment is conducted for all the Organisations own entities as well as all supply chain within the scope of the DDS.

- 7.3.1 risk of legal violations related to forest harvesting activities;
- 7.3.2 risk of legal violations in relation to trade and transport of the products; and
- 7.3.3 risk that the material is mixed with material of illegal or unknown origin somewhere during transport, processing or storage.
- 7.4 The Organisation shall assess relevant forest source or supply chain information to ensure that:
 - 7.4.1 information is relevant to indicate legality and ensure compliance with this standard;
 - 7.4.2 information is valid and verifiable; and,
 - 7.4.3 information can be related to the relevant product or supply chain.
- 7.7 The Organisation shall document the risk assessment process and provide justification for the level of risk specified for each individual origin or supply chain.
- 7.8 The risk assessment shall determine the level of risk as either low risk or specified risk.

GHS did not complete its risk assessment, only provided some evidences to show compliances with some legal requirements, but no description of each legal category nor identification of risk were done. Furthermore Annex 2 of this standard was not foreseen in the DDS.

Corrective action request:	Organisation shall implement corrective actions to demonstrate conformance with the requirement(s) referenced above.	
	Note : Effective corrective actions focus on addressing the specific occurrence described in evidence above, as well as the root cause to eliminate and prevent recurrence of the non-conformance.	
Timeline for Conformance:	Prior to certificate issuance	
Evidence Provided by Organisation:	 DDS (Exhibit 01 - CVA). CVA interview. 	
Findings for Evaluation of Evidence:	GHS reviewed its DDS and incorporated the missing items of each criterion and sub criterion for annex 1 (Forest Management) and 2 (supply chain) respectively. The assessment was properly conducted for the organization, as the only source of forest products.	
	The assessment determined the risk for all the supply chain which includes: forest (harvesting), forest landing (storage), forest landing to Apura sawmill (ASM) (transport), ASM landing (Storage, measurement & export preparation), ASM landing to Nickerie landing (transport), Nickerie landing (Export preparation & container stuffing); Nickerie landing – Dr. Jules Sedney Haven (transport).	
	The main topics included in each criteria were:	
	Completed description of current situation.	
	Legal requirements.	
	Definition of the risk level per category.	
	Identification of risk mitigation measures.	
	• Justification of the method to ensure the effectiveness of mitigation measures.	
	Details of risk situation.	
	No controversies were detected within the assessment.	
	Potential risks were classified as low because it was demonstrated that GHS holds the control of the actual situation in all links of the supply chain, given that it is the only source of forest products and also has control on manufacture activities until the last point of the supply chain. In this regard there is no risk of mixing illegal or	

	unknown origin material along the supply chain. The latest was confirmed by the interview and also auditors witnessed onsite.
	DDS was verified via interview regarding potential specified risks detected during the site visit by auditors: • Criterion 3.2 (CoC) Trade and transport: Auditors onsite finding during assessment: Only one person was able to issue the waybills at the landings, and sometimes he was not available.
	Company response during CVA interview: GHS have incorporated a detailed tracking in house system called TIMIS for the log tracking. Lists based on the <i>kapregisters</i> are being used after the logs have been approved; this list has all the log details, and crosschecks are being implemented to ensure that waybill is completed correctly.
	• Criterion 3.5 (FM) Health and safety: Auditors onsite finding during assessment: Health and accident insurances for contractors' workers were incomplete at the field visit.
	Company response during CVA interview: The company mentioned that this issue is under total control given that they are only working with one contractor in GHS and already set the protocol to check these documents periodically in its internal audit. Both data bases were presented to the auditor in excel where the insurance card number was indicated.
	There are some criteria which are not applicable most of the time because the scope of the site is not covering those issues as for example: criteria 2 and 5.4 in Forest Management, however they are well covered in the supply chain risk assessment. Another reason of the non-applicability would be the type of the tenure in criterion 1.1, for ownership, in this case FME holds concession license. In 5.5 (FM) and 3.5 (CoC) there is no specie under CITES convention, therefore these criteria are not applicable.
NCR Status:	CLOSED
Comments (optional):	NONE

Non-Conformance #:	MAJOR 03/20	
Non-Conformance Grading:	MAJOR ☑	Minor
Standard & Requirement:	LegalSource standard (LS-02) V2-1; requirement: 8.1, 8.2	

Description of Non-conformance:

- 8.1 The Organisation shall develop and implement efficient and justified measures for mitigating any specified risks for any of the categories in 7.3.1, 7.3.2 or 7.3.3 and shall take the following actions, where applicable:
 - 8.1.1 For specified risk of legal violations related to forest harvesting activities in the country or area of harvest, the Organisation shall ensure compliance with the relevant requirements in Annex 1.
 - 8.1.2 For specified risk of legal violations in relation to transport and trade of the products, including declaration and classification of the material for Customs, the Organisation shall ensure compliance with relevant requirements of Annex 2.
 - 8.1.3 For specified risk of the material being mixed or substituted with other material of illegal or unknown origin somewhere during transport, processing or storage, the Organisation shall ensure appropriate controls.
- 8.2 The Organisation shall document and justify the effectiveness of risk mitigation measures.

GHS did not complete its risk assessment for FM neither for CoC, therefore there was no risk identification related to forest harvest activities, trade and transport and material mixing or substituted with other material of illegal or unknown origin. GHS did not include how to measure the effectiveness of its mitigation measures into the DDS. Corrective action request: Organisation shall implement corrective actions to demonstrate conformance with the requirement(s) referenced above. *Note*: Effective corrective actions focus on addressing the specific occurrence described in evidence above, as well as the root cause to eliminate and prevent recurrence of the non-conformance. Timeline for Conformance: Prior to certificate issuance **Evidence Provided by** • DDS, Annex 2, Proposed risk mitigation actions (Exhibit 01 -Organisation: CVA). • CVA interview. Findings for Evaluation of According to the risk assessment there is no specified risk detected. Evidence: This was verified during CVA interview. Even though, GHS prepared mitigation measures for each sub criterion in DDS Annex 2 and Annex 3. The measures were according to the potential risk occurrence and verification action were detailed as well. Random checking will be taking place and mitigation measures will be evaluated. The audit body will be notified and based on its evaluation next steps will be decide on the measures been implemented. This procedure was documented in DDS, section Risk Assessment Process. Given that the company is sourcing all the materials and no third parties intervene in any stage of the supply chain, there is no risk of mixing illegal materials or substitutes them with others. In addition, there is a traceability system which ensures the origin of the material and random checks will make sure the integrity of the supply chain. NCR Status: CLOSED NONE Comments (optional):

Non-Conformance #:	MAJOR 04/20	
Non-Conformance Grading:	MAJOR ☑ Minor □	
Standard & Requirement:	LegalSource standard (LS-02) V2-1; Ann	nex 1: 3.5.1 & 3.5.2
Description of Non-conforman	ce:	
3.5.1. If legally required, persons involved in forest management/harvesting activities shall be employed under a formal contract. 3.5.2. Persons involved in forest management/harvesting activities shall be covered by obligatory insurances.		
GHS forest operator (contractors workers) did not present a valid work permit/state permit which enable a foreign to work in Surinam.		
GHS contractor's workers did not show evidence of holding health insurance.		
Corrective action request:	Organisation shall implement corrective actions to demonstrate conformance with the requirement(s) referenced above.	

	Note : Effective corrective actions focus on addressing the specific occurrence described in evidence above, as well as the root cause to eliminate and prevent recurrence of the non-conformance.	
Timeline for Conformance:	Prior to certificate issuance	
Evidence Provided by Organisation:	 Confirmation Emails of Immigration office for two foreign workers (Exhibits 02, 03 - CVA) Contractor workers database of insurance details (Exhibits 04 - CVA) Insurance cards (Exhibit 05 - CVA) CVA interview. 	
Findings for Evaluation of Evidence:	GHS presented to the auditor the confirmation emails of Immigration Affairs office regarding the legal status of Kevin Chand and Paul Lim, with reference number 5296 and 5297 respectively dated from 14 April 2020. The document states that the applicant will be informed by e-mail within 21 working days of the status of the application. To date more than 14 working days have passed. However according to the CVA interview, the Government has changed in the last weeks and Immigration Affairs office did not respond yet. It might take some time to have notice due to the transition passage from one government to the other. However, the emails confirm that the procedure is in progress and the Compliance officer indicated via interview that she will follow up this process with the corresponded authorities.	
	Regarding the health insurance finding, the complete data base of contractors 'workers insurances related to health and accident were submitted. The exhibit indicates the following information per worker: First name, Surname. Health insurance, Insurance number, start date, end date, accident insurance (SOR), SOR number.	
	Only one contractor is working for GHS, it is E.M.E Harvesting N.V. There are 8 people whose health insurance expired already and 1 person whose insurance will expire on 3 June 2020, but because of the Covid-19 situation these are extended automatically till 30 June 2020. A screenshot of the email from the insurance company received on 11-05-2020 was presented as exhibit of the extension.	
	According to the interview, after this date, contractors must reissue the insurances accordingly. GHS holds measures in its internal monitoring to check this issue ensuring that workers are properly covered. In addition, health insurance cards of a sample of contractors' workers were also attached as exhibit which support the excel data base submitted.	
NCR Status:	CLOSED	
Comments (optional):	NONE	

Non-Conformance #:	MAJOR 06/20	
Non-Conformance Grading:	MAJOR ☑ Minor □	
Standard & Requirement:	NEPCon Generic Chain of Custody Standa	ard V2-0, requirement: 1.2
Description of Non-conformar	onformance:	

1.2 The Organisation shall develop and maintain documented procedures to ensure compliance with all applicable CoC requirements.

Although GHS has a CoC Handbook (version 02/2020) that contains the basic elements for the CoC control, non-applicable references to FSC standards are mentioned (FSC suppliers, multisite certification, etc.).

Corrective action request:	Organisation shall implement corrective actions to demonstrate conformance with the requirement(s) referenced above.
	Note : Effective corrective actions focus on addressing the specific occurrence described in evidence above, as well as the root cause to eliminate and prevent recurrence of the non-conformance.
Timeline for Conformance:	Prior to certificate issuance
Evidence Provided by Organisation:	GHS CoC Handbook (Exhibit 06 - CVA).
Findings for Evaluation of Evidence:	By reviewing the updated version of the CoC Handbook, was confirmed that all non-applicable references to FSC standards were eliminated from this document.
NCR Status:	CLOSED
Comments (optional):	NONE