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INTRODUCTION

Preferred by Nature (former name: NEPCon) is an international, non-profit organisation that delivers sustainability services and engages in innovation projects to facilitate the transformation of business practices and consumer behaviour to promote the responsible use of natural resources. Around 1,300 Chain of Custody-certified clients benefit from our over 15 years of experience in providing services to the forest supply chain sectors – including timber processing and manufacturing companies, printing houses, publishers, paper merchants, traders and retailers of all sizes. Through a well-developed network of regional representatives and contractors, Preferred by Nature offers timely and cost-effective certification services around the world.

The purpose of this report is to document performance of Xinxiang Chemical Fiber Co., Ltd. hereafter referred to as “Company”, against the requirements of the Verification Framework and Guidelines, (February 2021 version\(^1\)) and related guidance documents\(^2\) developed by Canopy and approved, supported and requested by the Fashion and Textile Leaders for Forest Conservation (Leaders Group)\(^3\) and the over 320 brands, retailers and designers looking to implement their sourcing policies for man-made cellulosic textiles. The focus of this verification audit is to manage the risk and avoid sourcing from ancient and endangered forests and other controversial sources and implement other sustainable sourcing measures, across the supply chain from the point of wood harvesting in forests and/or plantations and through to the brand and retail customers. Man-made cellulosic fibre (MMCF) producers, are required to document and provide evidence towards a set of pre-defined social and environmental criteria and key progress indicators as part of the CanopyStyle initiative.

The audit presents the findings of Preferred by Nature auditors who have evaluated company systems and performance against the applicable standard(s). Section 4 below provides the evaluation conclusions. The auditor reviewed and used Canopy’s map of ancient and endangered forests, which has been overlaid with the sourcing regions and list of suppliers of the company to assess the level of risk, as well as further guidance document such as the Dissolving Pulp Classification Tool and the Advice Note on Ancient and Endangered Forests\(^4\).

Dispute resolution: If Preferred by Nature clients encounter organisations or individuals having concerns or comments about Preferred by Nature services, these parties are strongly encouraged to contact the relevant Preferred by Nature regional office or any member of the Preferred by Nature Chain of Custody Programme. Formal complaints and concerns should be sent in writing.

---

\(^2\) https://canopyplanet.org/resources/canopystyleaudit/canopystyle-audit-guidelines/
\(^3\) Current members of this group are H&M, M&S, Inditex/Zara, EILEEN FISHER, Stella McCartney and Canopy
1. GENERAL DESCRIPTION

1.1 Company overview
Xinxiang Chemical Fiber Co., Ltd. was established in March 1993 by the exclusive sponsor of Xinxiang Chemical Fiber Factory (now renamed as Xinxiang Bailu Investment Group Co., Ltd.) in the form of directional raising. Currently the number of employees amounts to 8,685. Xinxiang Chemical Fiber Co., Ltd is a major subsidiary of the group which has two mills with 200,000 tons of designed production capacity, 80,000 tons of which is man-made cellulosic fiber (MMCF) and the rest is spandex. It boasts four research platforms, such as Henan province chemical Invest Group engineering research center and more than 80 national patents.

It holds a number of third-party certificates, including:
- ISO9001:2015 quality management system
- FSC Chain of Custody
- ISO14001:2015 Environment Management System
- ISO45001: 2018 Occupational Health and Safety Management System
- STANDARD 100 by OEKO-TEX® testing and certification system.

It has also entered the preparation stage for the SA8000 certification. Furthermore, the company has completed the self-evaluation based on the Higg FEM (Facility Environment Modules) Index.

1.2 Company scope (sites, locations, etc)
Xinxiang Chemical Fibre Co., Ltd is involving in business of man-made cellulosic fibre, including the purchase of raw material and production of MMCF. Xinxiang Chemical Fiber Co., Ltd. has two viscose mills, both are located in Xinxiang City, Henan province:
- No. 1 Jinyuan road, Fengquan district, Xinxiang city, Henan province;
- South side of Xinchang road, Economic development area, Xinxiang city, Henan province.

The headquarter resides in the first mill and is responsible for development and enforcement of the company sourcing policy and purchasing raw materials for the mills.

1.3 Company sourcing policy
The Company published their Fibre Procurement Policy for Protecting Forests being effective on 8th December 2015, and committed to
- Conserve Ancient and Endangered Forests and intact forest landscapes.
- Recognize, respect and uphold human rights of communities
- Develop innovative and alternative fibre programme
- Advocate for conservation solutions
- Promote forest certification
- Transparency, traceability and verification
- Reduce greenhouse gas emissions
- Prevent pollution
- Enhance communication.
2. EVALUATION SCOPE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scope Item</th>
<th>Check all that apply to the Certificate Scope</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mill Type:</td>
<td>☒ Single ☐ Multi-site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Input Material Source:</td>
<td>☒ Listed in supplier CoC form ☐ Other suppliers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Majority Fiber Input:</td>
<td>Approximately 48% Dissolving pulp and 52% cotton fibre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Map of Ancient and Endangered Forests Overlay Completed:</td>
<td>☒ Yes ☐ No comments:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mill Capacity:</td>
<td>80,000 tons viscose rayon filament yarn /year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary Activity:</td>
<td>Viscose rayon filament yarn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outsourcing:</td>
<td>☐ FSC-certified subcontractors ☐ Non-certified subcontractors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Outsourcing of the complete production process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ High risk subcontractor site(s) included ☒ No outsourcing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sites included in evaluation</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Xinxiang Chemical Fiber Co., Ltd. office</td>
<td>No. 1 Jinyuan road, Fengquan district, Xinxiang city, Henan Province</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mill 1 (old factory, established in 1964)</td>
<td>No. 1 Jinyuan road, Fengquan district, Xinxiang city, Henan Province.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mill 2 (new factory, established in 2005)</td>
<td>South side of Xinchang road, Economic development area, Xinxiang City, Henan Province.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. EVALUATION PROCESS

3.1 Audit Team

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Auditor name(s)</th>
<th>Qualifications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Peiying Zhou</td>
<td>Responsible Sourcing Specialist; Qualified LegalSource auditor; Rich experience in timber legality related projects, especially in EUTR, FLEGT Action Plan (VPAs) related activities. Adequate knowledge and understanding in international timber legality requirements.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.2 Audit Overview

This is the 2nd audit which is composed of the corporate desktop audit and up to 2 short notice audits. The corporate desktop audit also contains one video conference/interview which took place on 25th of March, 2021.

3.3 Description of Overall Audit Process

Preferred by Nature (NEPCon) lead auditor shared an audit plan with the Company before the onsite visit. The company profile, supplier list and system documents were sent to the auditor for review before the audit.

On 25 March 2021, the audit started with an opening meeting via Tencent meeting application remotely with the presence of management representative and the key staff from relevant departments. The objective and scope of the audit, agenda, conflict of interest (COI) issues, etc were communicated. Also, the management representative gave a brief introduction of the Company and operation activities and the effort made on Canopy sourcing policy.

Following the opening meeting, the auditor interviewed the management team regarding action plan and any updates in regard of practises with Canopy policy commitment, Company structure, Canopy training, purchase policy, supplier management, research of alternative Fibre, environment protection, forest protection, etc.

After interview with management, related documents were reviewed, such as Wood Fibre Sourcing Policy and Operational Procedures, Annual Volume Summary Data, purchase documents (contracts, invoices, packing list, bill of lading etc.), Risk Assessment Report, Training Plan and records, communication with the suppliers, etc.

After reviewing the documents, the auditor communicated with the company on initial findings and asked for additional documents and information.

Short-notice audit to the viscose mills:

Preferred by Nature (NEPCon) announced the short-notice audit on 10 June 2021. On 11 June 2021, the auditor started the onsite audit with an opening meeting with the presence of top management and key staff from all relevant departments. The objective and scope of the audit, agenda, conflict of interest issues, etc were introduced by the auditor. The management representative also gave a brief introduction of the company and the operation activities it involves.

Following the opening meeting, the auditor interviewed the management team on the practices and strategic plan on Canopy policy commitment. And then the auditor also reviewed supplementary documents.

After that, the auditor toured the company’s two mills including the production line and warehouses. In combination with reviewing documents and visiting the company’s facilities, the auditor interviewed the staff from various departments: production, warehouses, purchase, HR, R&D etc.

The closing meeting was held at the office at around 16:30 on 11 June 2021 with the presence of the management. During the meeting the main findings were presented and explained to the company.
4. EVALUATION RESULT

4.1 Evaluation Conclusion

The company has made significant attempts to collect more information from suppliers to evaluate risk, and confirmed low risk for 3 of their 5 suppliers. 2 suppliers remain with potential risk of sourcing from ancient and endangered forests (proximity to Intact Forest Landscapes).

Positive Findings:

The Company has developed and has been implementing the sourcing policy in line with CanopyStyle initiative and communicated this policy with all suppliers. The operating manual was developed by the HQ and shared with the 2 mills.

The Company provided several training sessions to the staff at different levels. The key managers are aware of the sourcing policy and definition of ancient and endangered forests.

The purchase department has collected the information from all five suppliers and conducted risk assessments. The pulp suppliers list was made public on the Company’s official website and the Company has agreed to share the risk assessment of suppliers with the Leaders Group.

The Canopy sourcing policy has been communicated to the suppliers. The requirements to implement the policy have been included in the contract with all suppliers and a supplementary agreement has been added to the contract to all suppliers. The company has developed an action plan to increase FSC purchase – increase the proportion of FSC certified inputs.

In 2020, the company launched Bailu-ECOTM sustainable viscose filament products which made from recycled pulp (recycled cotton fibre accounts for 5%). The company has started an R&D project on fibre recycling technology for recycling waste textiles in January 2021.

The company has obtained the EUBAT certification and is a participant in ZDHC. Finally, the company established a procedure to evaluate the suppliers’ performance in reducing GHG.

Areas for Improvement:

The Company made significant attempts to collect information from their suppliers on forest or plantation of origin (in 2019 and 2020, the company conducted risk assessments of its suppliers twice), but most often received only enough information to locate the dissolving pulp mill location. Therefore, sometimes origin of material is only known at a country or state/regional level, creating a broad sourcing area with potential overlap with Ancient and Endangered forests. More information on forest of origin is needed to understand if these mills are in fact sourcing from Ancient and Endangered forests or other controversial sources.

Canopy shared an advice note (effective 1 June 2019) that introduces a new tool (Dissolving Pulp Mill Classification) to be used in addition to the Forest Mapper when conducting risk assessments for sourcing from Ancient and Endangered forests. The new tool outlines commonly used dissolving pulp mills and a determination of risk in relation to Ancient and Endangered forests. When comparing the company risk assessment with the new Canopy classification tool, there are differing risk results, which are noted below.
Note: The company has ceased production of staple fibre based on its own development plan. All procurement of dissolving pulp for staple fibre production came to a halt in 2019.

For the filament fibre production, Borregaard AS (Norway) and Fortress Specialty Cellulose INC (Canada) were supplying previously. The company stopped purchasing from these 2 suppliers in 2019 due to the fact that the price from Borregaard was not competitive and the quality of the dissolving pulp sold from Fortress was not satisfactory, as well as Fortress has stopped production itself. Therefore, the valid suppliers are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Supplier</th>
<th>Company Risk Assessment</th>
<th>Canopy Dissolving Pulp Classification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>COSMO SPECIALTY FIBERS.</td>
<td>Low risk</td>
<td>In proximity to coastal temperate rainforests and Intact Forest Landscapes. Further sourcing information and/or auditing required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOMSJO FABRIKER AB</td>
<td>Low risk</td>
<td>No sourcing issues identified based on current information and/or CanopyStyle audit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AustroCel Hallein GmbH</td>
<td>Low risk</td>
<td>No sourcing issues identified based on current information and/or CanopyStyle audit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stora Enso International Oy</td>
<td>Low risk</td>
<td>Further sourcing information and/or auditing required. -Mil is located in proximity to IFLs -Policy of no sourcing from IFLs, but verification required. The mill must verify the following to update status in this classification.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Södra Skogsägarna ek. för., Södra Cell</td>
<td>Low risk</td>
<td>No sourcing issues identified based on current information and/or CanopyStyle audit.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.2 Summary of findings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Color</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commitment Met</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commitment in Progress</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commitment Not Met</td>
<td>Red</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insufficient Information Available</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Key Commitment

1. The MMCF producer has publicly communicated and is implementing the Fiber Sourcing/Forest Policy

### Performance Indicators

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>Senior executive and key managers make a publicly available commitment to full implementation of the forest sourcing policy **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>The MMCF producer has developed standard operating procedures (SOP) required to implement the Policy. These are available upon request to stakeholders. **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>The MMCF producer has assigned personnel with responsibility for Policy implementation. **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>The MMCF producer has developed capacity and company structure to implement the Policy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>The MMCF producer has communicated its commitment to implement its Policy to all its suppliers. **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>The MMCF producer has included requirements to implement the Policy in agreements/contracts with current and future suppliers. **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>The MMCF producer has developed, and is implementing a system to monitor supplier conformance with the Policy. **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>The MMCF producer has put in place a grievance procedure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>The MMCF producer has developed, and is implementing, an action plan that address any identified non-conformance and grievance received. **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>Key managers at each production site are aware of the Policy and demonstrate a similar commitment to implement it. **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>Each production site managers have developed procedures to implement the Policy, when relevant. **</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. The MMCF producer only sources raw material from suppliers that are transparent, traceable and are in conformance with the policy

### Performance Indicators

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>An assessment of the MMCF producer supply chain has been completed globally. The producer used the Forest Mapper, advice note on Ancient and Endangered Forests and the Dissolving Pulp Classification tool, to make their supply assessment. **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>The assessment identifies all suppliers in the chain that supply the MMCF mills, beginning at the forest or plantation of origin.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>This assessment is updated every year and shared with Canopy, with permission to share with the Leaders Group.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

** Indicates Critical Indicators
<p>| 2.4 | The producer developed additional criteria to complete their risk assessment, to include legality, violation of human risks and risks related to the conversion of natural forests to plantations (1994 date), use of Genetically Modified Organisms. | Commitment Met |
| 2.5 | The MMCF producer publishes its suppliers publicly, or, in the absence of such transparency, is providing its customers with a robust track and trace system that can be used throughout the supply chain up to clothing and textile retailers. | Commitment Met |
| 3. | No conversion of natural forest to plantations |  |
| 3.1 | The initial date of the plantation development has been documented and sourcing only occurs in areas identified pre 1994, or post 1994 with a supporting valid FSC certificate. ** | Commitment in Progress |
| 4. | Since the signature of the Policy, all sourcing from ancient and endangered forests and other controversial sources have been eliminated |  |
| 4.1 | The MMCF producer has adopted clear definitions for the terms included in their Policy, such as “ancient &amp; endangered forests”, “intact forest”, “natural forest”, “endangered species”, “controversial sources”, “high conservation value”, “high carbon area”, “peatlands”, etc. that are consistent with this document and the forest sourcing policy template. ** | Commitment Met |
| 4.2 | All areas meeting the definition of “ancient and endangered forests” have been identified and mapped and suppliers and fibre that have a high risk of being considered controversial sources have been identified and shared with Canopy, with permission to share Leaders Group. ** | Commitment in Progress |
| 4.3 | Any raw materials in the MMCF producer’s supply chain originating from ancient and endangered forests or other controversial sources, and acquired before the Policy was adopted by the company, such as stocks in log yards, will be documented, identified accordingly and utilised by the mills. ** | Not Applicable |
| 4.4 | The MMCF producer is aware of all relevant local, national and international laws and there is no evidence of non-compliance, with local, national or international laws. ** | Commitment Met |
| 4.5 | The commitment not to source from ancient and endangered forests and other controversial sources is verified. ** | Commitment in Progress |
| 5. | If suppliers contravene these criteria, the MMCF producer will first engage them to change practices and then re-evaluate its relationship with them |  |
| 5.1 | All MMCF producers’ suppliers are identified and the forest of origin is known. ** | Commitment in Progress |
| 5.2 | The MMCF producer has developed procedures for engaging with suppliers, up to | Commitment Met |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>5.3 The MMCF producer has documented withdrawals from supply agreements where non-conformance has been found. **</th>
<th>Commitment Met</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6. The MMCF producer welcomes interested stakeholders and Leaders Group observers to verify the implementation.</td>
<td>Commitment in Progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.1 When requested, Canopy, Leaders Group and other stakeholder observers are invited to participate freely and to report observations during this verification process. **</td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.2 The MMCF producer requires of its supplier to complete large scale scientifically based conservation planning, High Conservation Value assessments, and/or High Carbon Value assessment, identifying areas for protection, has been completed, based on best available science, by a credible third party, and made public. **</td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.3 If sourcing from controversial areas, with records of conflict and human rights violation, an assessment that includes participatory mapping of lands owned or claimed by indigenous and local communities, identification of areas for protection, areas for conflict resolution and remedy of past harms that involve affected parties, their chosen advisors and relevant stakeholders, have been completed by a credible and mutually agreed third party and made public. **</td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.4 The MMCF producer requires of its supplier to have developed a management plan that identifies measures to protect areas identified in large scale scientifically based conservation planning, HCV and HCS assessments with the Free, Prior and Informed Consent of indigenous and local communities whose land or land claims are impacted and with input from credible ENGOs. **</td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.5 The MMCF producer has developed and implemented a time-bound action plan to actively seek the legal protection of these areas with final land-use decision-makers in a way that meets principles of Free Prior and Informed Consent. **</td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. The MMCF producer shall recognize, respect and uphold human rights and the rights of communities and workers affected by the operations of their supply</td>
<td>Commitment Met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.1 The MMCF producer has developed and requires its suppliers to adopt a similar policy, systems and procedures to implement Free, Prior and Informed Consent of indigenous people and local communities. **</td>
<td>Commitment Met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.2 Suppliers document how they conform with the MMCF producer's commitment to recognize and respect human rights, community rights, First Nations rights and rights of workers. **</td>
<td>Commitment in Progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.3 The MMCF producer and its suppliers show responsible handling of complaints and resolution of conflicts in a transparent and accountable manner that is mutually agreed by the parties and includes relevant stakeholders.</td>
<td>Commitment in Progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.4 The MMCF producer and its suppliers have developed internal capacity and Companyal structure to recognize and respect the rights of its workers</td>
<td>Commitment Met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.5 The MMCF producer has developed procedures to ensure its Tier one suppliers uphold the International Labour Company (ILO) Declaration on Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work and will require the equivalent of their own suppliers.</td>
<td>Commitment Met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.6 Recognition and respect for human rights is demonstrated by the MMCF producer and its pulp suppliers. There is no evidence of avoiding or failing to resolve social conflicts and remedy past or current human rights violations. **</td>
<td>Commitment in Progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.1 The MMCF producer has developed and implemented an internal action plan to collaborate with innovative companies and suppliers to explore and encourage the development of new alternative fiber sources that reduce environmental and social impacts, such as agricultural residues and recycled fibers. **</td>
<td>Commitment Met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.2 The research and development phase for the production of pulp and cellulosic fiber made from alternative fiber sources has been successfully completed and the MMCF producer is entering a commercial scale phase.</td>
<td>Commitment Met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.1 The MMCF producer has a track record of participating in events that support collaborative and visionary system solutions that aim protect remaining ancient and endangered forests. **</td>
<td>Commitment Met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.2 When prompted, the MMCF producer uses its brand influence or purchasing influence to positively impact conservation and development solutions that have the Free, Prior and Informed Consent of affected indigenous and local communities. **</td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.3 The MMCF producer publicly supports science-based international and national target(s) and programs for preserving designated protected and conservation</td>
<td>Commitment in Progress</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
areas that have the Free, Prior and Informed Consent of affected indigenous and local communities.

9.4 The MMCF producer is developing and implementing specific programs to increase the endangered species population and the maintenance of their habitat through time, with government and/or ENGO programs. **

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>10. Responsible forest management</th>
<th>10.1 The MMCF producer has defined criteria for responsible forest management, gives a preference for FSC certification and has developed and implemented an action plan to increase FSC intake. **</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11. Reduction of Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Footprint by recognizing the importance of forests and peatlands as carbon storehouses</td>
<td>11.1 The MMCF producer has procedures to evaluate their suppliers’ performance in reducing GHG. **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.2 MMCF producer has procedures to know whether their suppliers are sourcing from tropical peatlands and/or intact forest landscapes.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.3 The MMCF producer can document giving preference to suppliers that are not operating in intact forest landscapes or on drained tropical peatlands and that have identified, withdrawn from and are restoring peatlands and their hydrology. **</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

12. Pollution Prevention 12.1 * This verification process will not address the pulp and viscose manufacturing process which can lead to air and water emissions that impact overall environmental quality.

Canopy expects MMCF producers to invest in and use the cleanest dissolving pulp and viscose manufacturing technology (i.e. lyocell process), and to implement the ZDHC new viscose guidelines available at https://www.roadmaptozero.com/post/zdhc-man-made-cellulosic-guidelines-released

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>4.3 Volume Summaries5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
<th>Volume</th>
<th>% Overall</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FSC Controlled Wood</td>
<td>Material received with an FSC Controlled Wood claim (either from an FM or COC certified company)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controlled material</td>
<td>Noncertified material controlled by the company's FSC Due Diligence System</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5 The volume summary is provided by the organization.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FSC Mix</td>
<td>Material received with an FSC Mix Credit or FSC Mix % claim from an FSC CoC certified company</td>
<td>14,792.10</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSC 100%</td>
<td>Material received with an FSC 100% claim from an FSC certified company (FM or COC).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-FSC</td>
<td>Material received with no FSC claim.</td>
<td>29,930.70</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEFC</td>
<td>Material received with a PEFC claim. Note materials can be received with both a PEFC and FSC claim (no double counting)</td>
<td>10,051.16</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix A : standard checklist (CanopyStyle Verification Framework – Corporate Sourcing)

1. Evaluation of Site: Corporate HQ

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primary Responsible Person: (Responsible for control system at site(s))</th>
<th>Suling Liu, Assistant to the Director of Corporate Management Department</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Auditor(s):</td>
<td>Peiying Zhou</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| People Interviewed, Titles: | Deshun Song, General Manager  
Jizhang Chen, Director of Import & Export Division  
Xuechang Yue, Purchase Department  
Huimin Zhang, Director of Technical Department  
Lei Shi, Technician, Technical Department  
Zhihong Luo, Deputy Dean of Bailu New Materials Research Institute  
Junjiang Xiao, Technician, Bailu New Materials Research Institute  
Yanmei Hao, Chairman of the Labour Union  
Chongxiang Zhao, Technician, Production Management Division  
Jiagui Geng, Technician, Energy Saving Office  
Junjiang Jia, Director of Corporate Management Department  
Suling Liu, Assistant to the Director of Corporate Management Department  
Jujie Ruan, Certifier, Corporate Management Department  
Quanfang Li, Certifier, Corporate Management Department |

Brief Overview of Audit Process for this Location: Please refer to Section 3.3 above for Description of Overall Audit Process.

Comments: Xinxiang Chemical Fiber Co., Ltd headoffice is responsible for development and enforcement of the company sourcing policy and raw materials purchasing for the two mills in Xinxiang City, Henan Province, China.

2. Standard Checklist

1. The MMCF producer has publicly communicated and is implementing their Fiber Sourcing/Forest Policy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1.1 Senior executive and key managers make a publicly available commitment to full implementation of the forest sourcing policy.** | Conformance with Indicator:  
☐ Not Applicable  
☒ Commitment Met  
☐ Commitment in Progress  
☐ Commitment Not Met  
☐ Insufficient Information Available |

Description of the finding:
The Company made a public commitment to promote sustainable forest management and conserve ancient and endangered forests (effective in 8th December 2015). The policy was posted on the website: [http://www.bailu.cn/newsinfo/1294445.html](http://www.bailu.cn/newsinfo/1294445.html)

The interview with the management team at the video conference confirmed that the management team were well informed the definition of ancient and endangered forests and other controversial sources and were fully committed to implement Canopy fibre policy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1.2 The MMCF producer has developed standard operating procedures (SOP) required to implement the Policy. These are available upon request to stakeholders. **</th>
<th>Conformance with Indicator:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☑ Commitment Met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Commitment in Progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Commitment Not Met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Insufficient Information Available</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Description of the finding:
The Company has developed SOP (Q/CanopyStyle cx-01-2019) to implement of the fibre sourcing policy, including objectives, purchase policy, supplier management procedure, action plan, etc.
The company has developed procedures to only purchase the wood pulp in compliance with the purchase policy. The supplier with high risk will be suspended. The supplier who does not provide sufficient information must take actions within deadline (6 months). If the non-conformity is not solved by the supplier within the deadline, the company will stop purchase. The records of communication to the suppliers were reviewed, including request of supply chain information and action plan.
The SOP is available upon request to the stakeholders.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1.3 The MMCF producer has assigned personnel with responsibility for Policy implementation. **</th>
<th>Conformance with Indicator:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☑ Commitment Met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Commitment in Progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Commitment Not Met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Insufficient Information Available</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Description of the finding:
The appointment letter for implementation of Canopy requirements are provided.

The General Manager has the overall responsibility in handling the company's CanopyStyle management system, including establishing, implementing, maintaining and continuously improving the effectiveness of the
system, and ensuring the implementation for the Canopy fibre policy. The purchasing department (esp. the Import & Export Division as well as Supply Division) is assigned and responsible for the implementation of the policy, including communication with suppliers, develop new suppliers as well as maintenance of current ones, and handling of the complaints from stakeholders related to CanopyStyle fibre policy implementation. A signed commitment letter from the Import & Export Division is provided to the auditor (signed by Jizhang Chen, Director of Import & Export Division). The responsibility of implementing the policy is included in Company structure and responsibility division. Other departments are also involved, such as Corporate management department. It is in charge of contact with Canopy and audit body, internal monitoring of Canopy policy implementation and support policy activities.

### 1.4 The MMCF producer has developed capacity and Company structure to implement the Policy.

**Conformance with Indicator:**

- Not Applicable
- ☒ Commitment Met
- ☐ Commitment in Progress
- ☐ Commitment Not Met
- ☐ Insufficient Information Available

**Description of the finding:**

The Company structure for implementation of policy is shared with the auditor. Most of the existing departments are engaged in the implementation of the policy: Import & Export Division in charge of supplier management and policy enforcement, Environment Protection Department in charge of pollution prevention, Technique Research Division in charge of new technology development. Corporate management dept, Human Resource, Property Management Department, Labour Union, etc are all involved. Interviews found all relevant staff aware of Canopy policy and their responsibility.

### 1.5 The MMCF producer has communicated its commitment to implement its Policy to all its suppliers.

**Conformance with Indicator:**

- Not Applicable
- ☒ Commitment Met
- ☐ Commitment in Progress
- ☐ Commitment Not Met
- ☐ Insufficient Information Available

**Description of the finding:**

The Company structure for implementation of policy is shared with the auditor. Most of the existing departments are engaged in the implementation of the policy: Import & Export Division in charge of supplier management and policy enforcement, Environment Protection Department in charge of pollution prevention, Technique Research Division in charge of new technology development. Corporate management dept, Human Resource, Property Management Department, Labour Union, etc are all involved. Interviews found all relevant staff aware of Canopy policy and their responsibility.
The company notified all suppliers the commitments requirements via emails. Email records in March 2021 were provided.

Example: email to AustroCell Hallein:

All suppliers have signed commitment letters with the company in regard of dissolving pulp procurement, ILO’s requirements on workers full capacity and workplace. The supply agreements of dissolving pulp from all suppliers are also provided to the auditor.

Example on sourcing policy communication with the supplier:

1.6 The MMCF producer has included requirements to implement the Policy in agreements/contracts with current and future suppliers.

Conformance with Indicator:
- Not Applicable
- Commitment Met
- Commitment in Progress
- Commitment Not Met
- Insufficient Information Available

Description of the finding:

The supply agreements of dissolving pulp from all suppliers are provided to the auditor. All agreements contained the required
information, however not all suppliers signed the commitment letter and supply agreement.

AutoCell Hallein, Domsojo and Sodra signed both the commitment letter and the supply agreement with the company. In the case of Domsi and Sodra, both documents carry stamps from Ekman and Domsojo/Sodra.

Suppliers Stora Enso and Cosmo didn’t provide direct evidence, but via the broker, Fun Collection, signed the commitment letter and the supply agreement. The company later reached both Stora Enso (with additional requirements on not sourcing from IFLs) and Cosmo with the intention to sign the commitment letters and the supply agreements, however, both pulp mills replied that they have provided information to Canopy and therefore do not need to sign the commitment letter.

See below for examples of the Commitment letter and the supply agreement:
1.7 The MMCF producer has developed, and is implementing a system to monitor supplier conformance with the Policy.**

**Conformance with Indicator:**
- [ ] Not Applicable
- [x] Commitment Met
- [ ] Commitment in Progress
- [ ] Commitment Not Met
- [ ] Insufficient Information Available

**Description of the finding:**
The company developed SOP which includes the supplier control procedure. Based on the procedure, the supplier must comply with Canopy requirement and with the low risk. Also, the performance of active suppliers will be monitored annually as in: appropriate methods (such as sample trials, product testing or measurement, factory visits, supplier quality performance evaluation, consultation with suppliers’ other customers, understanding of their social reputation, etc) should be adopted to continue to monitor the performance of external suppliers. However, during the time of this audit, no solid evidence is provided in regard of well implementing the monitoring on the performance of the suppliers, apart from ceasing procurements from potential risky suppliers as well as purchasing certified pulp.

1.8 The MMCF producer has put in place a grievance procedure.

**Conformance with Indicator:**
- [ ] Not Applicable
- [x] Commitment Met
- [ ] Commitment in Progress
1.9 The MMCF producer has developed, and is implementing, an action plan that address any identified non-conformance and grievance received.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conformance with Indicator</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commitment Met</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commitment in Progress</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commitment Not Met</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insufficient Information Available</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Description of the finding:**
The grievance procedure has been compiled into the SOP, which is provided to the auditor.

1.10 Key managers at each production site are aware of the Policy and demonstrate a similar commitment to implement it.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conformance with Indicator</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commitment Met</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commitment in Progress</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commitment Not Met</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insufficient Information Available</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Description of the finding:**
The Company organized several trainings on the policy and Canopy requirements before the audit. Training records were provided for auditor review:

- 14:00-17:00 on 17-Feb-2021, Import & Export Division
- 14:00-17:00 on 18-Feb-2021, Import & Export Division
- 08:30-09:30 and 14:00-17:00 from 18-Jan to 05-Feb, 2021, No.1 filament production workshop.
The interview with the representative from the production department confirms that they are aware of the policy and are implementing it.

1.11 Each production site manager has developed procedures to implement the Policy, when relevant.**

**Conformance with Indicator:**
- Not Applicable
- Commitment Met
- Commitment in Progress
- Commitment Not Met
- Insufficient Information Available

**Description of the finding:**
Yes, the SOP developed by the group headquarters was shared with two mills.

**Summary:** The Company has developed a sustainable fibre sourcing policy with supporting Procedures as well as personnel capacity for implementation. The company developed SOP which includes the supplier control procedure. Based on the procedure, the supplier must comply with Canopy requirement and with the low risk. Also, the performance of active suppliers will be monitored annually. However, in regard of monitoring the supplier’s conformance with the policy, during the time of this audit, no solid evidence is provided in regard of well implementing the monitoring on the performance of the suppliers, apart from ceasing procurements from potential risky suppliers as well as purchasing certified pulp.

2. The MMCF producer only sources raw material from suppliers that are transparent, traceable and are in conformance with the policy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 2.1 An assessment of the MMCF producer supply chain has been completed globally. The producer used the Forest Mapper, advice note on Ancient and Endangered Forests and the Dissolving Pulp Classification tool, to make their supply assessment. ** | **Conformance with Indicator:**
- Not Applicable
- Commitment Met
- Commitment in Progress
- Commitment Not Met
- Insufficient Information Available

**Description of the finding:**
The company provided its own dissolving pulp Due Diligence SOP which aims at avoiding purchase raw materials from HCV, HCS forest, ancient and endangered forest or endangered species habitat forest, any controversial sources. In the meantime, ensure that all suppliers respect the indigenous people and FPIC as well as the worker’s rights. The company also required suppliers to attend the trainings for the compliance of the company’s Code of Conduct. The company conducted the assessment by using the Forest Mapper as well as the Dissolving Pulp Classification Tool for 2019 and 2020. In the 2020 assessment process, the company added the CPI (corruption perception index) as an additional criterion. For countries that the CPI is below 55 will be graded as high-risk country.
The company provided the risk assessment conclusion for both 2019 and 2020 to the auditor. However, the risk assessment does not always match the risk assessment in the Dissolving Pulp Classification Tool. For example, the Company determined that supplier Cosmo is low risk, however the Dissolving Pulp Classification Tool graded Cosmo as potentially risky. The organization has agreed to publish a verification audit for Cosmo, which is planned for Q4 2021, in order to confirm risk levels.

**2.2** The assessment identifies all suppliers in the chain that supply the MMCF mills, beginning at the forest or plantation of origin.

**Conformance with Indicator:**

- Not Applicable
- Commitment Met
- Commitment in Progress
- Commitment Not Met
- Insufficient Information Available

**Description of the finding:**

The company provided their suppliers list. The dissolving pulp mills on the supply chain are identified. However, all 5 suppliers didn’t provide the full and exact forest or plantation of origin, but only up to sub-regional, regional or country level.

**2.3** This assessment is updated every year and shared with Canopy, with permission to share with the Leaders Group.

**Conformance with Indicator:**

- Not Applicable
- Commitment Met
- Commitment in Progress
- Commitment Not Met
- Insufficient Information Available

**Description of the finding:**

The assessment is updated every year. During the audit this time, both assessment in 2019 and 2020 were provided and already shared with Canopy during the audit. The company is also willing to share with the Leaders Group.

**2.4** The producer developed additional criteria to complete their risk assessment, to include legality, violation of human risks and risks related to the conversion of natural forests to plantations (1994 date), use of Genetically Modified Organism.

**Conformance with Indicator:**

- Not Applicable
- Commitment Met
- Commitment in Progress
- Commitment Not Met
- Insufficient Information Available

**Description of the finding:**

In the Due Diligence SOP developed for evaluating the dissolving pulp supply, additional criteria are added to complete the risk assessment, excluding purchase from controversial sources:

- Illegal activities
- Violating the rights of local communities, workers and indigenous people
- Lead to the destruction and disappearance of original intact endangered forests or high conservation value forests and regions
- Lead to the destruction and disappearance of high-carbon sink forests
- Lead to the destruction and disappearance of tropical peat forests
- Lead to the conversion/degradation of natural forests or peat forests into plantations
- Plantations developed after 1994 through the transformation/conversion use of Genetically Modified Organism.

2.5 The MMCF producer publishes its suppliers publicly, or, in the absence of such transparency, is providing its customers with a robust track and trace system that can be used throughout the supply chain up to clothing and textiles retailers.

Conformance with Indicator:

- Not Applicable
- Commitment Met
- Commitment in Progress
- Commitment Not Met
- Insufficient Information Available

Description of the finding:

The Company has published its updated suppliers on its website on Sept 24, 2020: http://www.bailu.cn/newsinfo/151607.html

Summary:
The company provided its own dissolving pulp Due Diligence SOP which aims at avoiding purchase raw materials from HCV, HCS forest, ancient and endangered forest or endangered species habitat forest, any controversial sources. In the meantime, ensure that all suppliers respect the indigenous people and FPIC as well as the worker’s rights. The company also required suppliers to attend the trainings for the compliance of the company’s Code of Conduct.

The company conducted the assessment by using the Forest Mapper as well as the Dissolving Pulp Classification Tool for 2019 and 2020. The company provided the risk assessment conclusion for both 2019 and 2020 to the auditor. However, some of the risk designations determined by the Company do not match the risk conclusion presented in the Dissolving Pulp Classification Tool. Additional verification is being pursued to address this.

The company provided suppliers list. The dissolving pulp mills on the supply chain are identified. However all 5 suppliers didn’t provide the full and exact forest or plantation of origin, but only up to sub-regional, regional or country level.

The company updated and published its supplier for 2020 in the official website.

### 3. No conversion of natural forest to plantations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **3.1 The initial date of the plantation development has been documented and sourcing only occurs in areas identified pre 1994, or post 1994 with a supporting FSC certificate.** | **Conformance with Indicator:**
- [ ] Not Applicable
- [ ] Commitment Met
- [x] Commitment in Progress
- [ ] Commitment Not Met
- [ ] Insufficient Information Available

**Description of the finding:**
Cosmo provided its fibre policy, in which Cosmo introduced a tool that they developed to ensure avoidance of unacceptable wood fibre sources. Controlled Wood Risk Assessment, which, according to Cosmo, can demonstrates the low risk for controversial or uncontrolled sources of wood that includes wood harvested from forest being converted to plantations.

In addition, according to the company’s assessment result, for Cosmo, there are wood sources that are coming from plantations which were established between 1960 – 1985.

Domsjo and Sodra provided their fibre sourcing policies confirming that they don’t purchase raw materials that are from controversial sources including natural forests that were converted to plantations:
In addition, according to the company’s assessment results, for Domsjo and Sodra, no materials are from plantations.

Updated on June 24 with further evidence from the company: AustroCel Hallein didn’t provide information/documents in regard of this indicator. The company later approached AustroCel Hallein requested solid evidence in regard of plantation

The purchasing documents received from AustroCel shows that the pulp is 100% PEFC certified. However this does not guarantee that it is not sourcing from plantations established after 1994.

Stora Enso provided FSC certified pulp to the Company. The company’s assessment result shows that for Stora Enso, no materials originates from plantations. Stora Enso later provided a statement on plantations. According to the statement, Stora Enso procures wood from semi-natural forests, not monoculture plantations of exotic tree species. Semi-natural forests are regenerated artificially or using natural seedlings of mother trees in a harvesting site. Artificial regeneration means that harvesting area is planted with local native tree species. Furthermore,
originating from surrounding forests always complement artificial regeneration.

**Summary:**
The company required all suppliers to disclose the plantation establishment information. Cosmo provided relevant plantation dates information. Domsjo and Sodra provided their sourcing policies confirming they don't purchase raw materials that are from controversial sources including natural forests converted to plantations. The company’s assessment results also showed that both Domsjo and Sodra don’t have materials coming from plantations. AustroCel’s email stated that they are PEFC certified, and that all chips originate from man-made forests, but did not confirm plantation dates. Stora Enso provided FSC certified pulp to the Company. Stora Enso later provided a statement on plantation. According to the statement, Stora Enso procures wood from semi-natural forests, not monoculture plantations of exotic tree species.

4. Since the signature of the Policy, all sourcing from ancient and endangered forests and other controversial sources have been eliminated

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.1 The MMCF producer has adopted clear definitions for the terms included in their Policy, such as “ancient &amp; endangered forests,” “intact forest,” “natural forest,” “endangered species,” “controversial sources,” “high conservation value,” “high carbon area,” “peatlands,” etc. that are consistent with this document and the forest sourcing policy template.**</td>
<td><strong>Conformance with Indicator:</strong>&lt;br&gt;☑ Commitment Met&lt;br&gt;☐ Commitment in Progress&lt;br&gt;☐ Commitment Not Met&lt;br&gt;☐ Insufficient Information Available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description of the finding: The Company’s policies and procedures include the definitions of ancient &amp; endangered forests, intact forests, and controversial sources as the CanopyStyle framework. **</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2 All areas meeting the definition of “ancient and endangered forests” have been identified and mapped and suppliers and fibre that have a high risk of being considered controversial sources have been identified and shared with Canopy, with permission to share Leaders Group.**</td>
<td><strong>Conformance with Indicator:</strong>&lt;br&gt;☐ Not Applicable&lt;br&gt;☑ Commitment Met&lt;br&gt;☐ Commitment in Progress&lt;br&gt;☐ Commitment Not Met&lt;br&gt;☐ Insufficient Information Available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description of the finding: Cosmo didn’t provide solid evidence in this regard. They use Controlled Wood Risk Assessment to ensure avoidance of unacceptable wood fibre sources. However, this does not preclude fibre use from Ancient and Endangered Forests.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In addition, according to the Canopy Dissolving Pulp Classification Tool, Cosmo is graded as further sourcing information and/or auditing required. Cosmo has agreed to a verification audit, which will be conducted in Q4 2021.

Domsjo provided its forest map and also provided the results by using the Forest Mapper, which confirm no sourcing from ancient and endangered forests and IFLs:

In addition, according to the Canopy dissolving pulp classification tool, Domsjo is graded as no sourcing issues identified based on current information and/or CanopyStyle audit.

AustroCel Hallein and Sodra didn’t provide solid evidence, but according to the Canopy dissolving pulp classification tool, it is graded
as no sourcing issues identified based on current information and/or CanopyStyle audit.

**Stora Enso didn’t provide evidence, and according to the Canopy dissolving pulp classification tool, it is graded as Further sourcing information and/or auditing required.**

Further, no evidence of identification on sourcing from controversial sources is provided, in addition to ancient and endangered forests.

All this info has been shared with Canopy via email. The company is also willing to share with the Leaders Group.

4.3 Any raw materials in the MMCF producer’s supply chain originating from ancient and endangered forests or other controversial sources, and acquired before the Policy was adopted by the company, such as stocks in log yards, will be documented, identified accordingly and utilised by the mills.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conformance with Indicator:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☒ Not Applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Commitment Met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Commitment in Progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Commitment Not Met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Insufficient Information Available</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Description of the finding:**

No such materials have been identified as of this audit. 2 suppliers have potential risk noted, but this has not been confirmed.

4.4 The MMCF producer is aware of all relevant local, national and international laws and there is no evidence of non-compliance, with local, national or international laws.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conformance with Indicator:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐ Not Applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☒ Commitment Met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Commitment in Progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Commitment Not Met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Insufficient Information Available</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Description of the finding:**

The interviews to the management confirmed they were aware all relevant laws and regulations.

Auditor reviewed the legally required documents in China, such as business licence, environment impact assessment, export license, etc.

There is no news and info disclosed that the organize is non-compliance with national and international laws. The Company obtained FSC COC certificate (SCS-COC-005849), granted by Step by Oeko-Tex to use the OEKO-TEX mark for its facility. Passed the Occupational Health and Safety Management System Certificate (ISO45001:2018); Environmental Management System Certificate (ISO...
4.5 The commitment not to source from ancient and endangered forests and other controversial sources is verified.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conformance with Indicator:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐ Not Applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☑ Commitment Met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Commitment in Progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Commitment Not Met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Insufficient Information Available</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Description of the finding:**
The company has conducted their annual risk assessment on their supply chains to understand the risk of sourcing from ancient and endangered forests and other controversial sources. However, not all suppliers can be verified as low risk. Note findings in 4.1-4.4 above.

**Summary:**
The MMCF producer has adopted clear definitions for the terms included in their Policy. Some suppliers provided forest map, some provided self-explanatory statements in terms of mapping and identifying ancient and endangered forests. No evidence of identification on sourcing from controversial sources is provided in addition to ancient and endangered forests.
The MMCF producer is aware of all relevant local, national and international laws and there is no evidence of non-compliance, with local, national or international laws.
The company has conducted their annual risk assessment on their supply chains to understand the risk of sourcing from ancient and endangered forests and other controversial sources. However, not all suppliers can be verified as low risk.

5. If suppliers contravene these criteria, the MMCF producer will first engage them to change practices and then re-evaluate its relationship with them

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.1 All MMCF producers’ suppliers are identified and the forest of origin is known.**</td>
<td>Conformance with Indicator:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Not Applicable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☑ Commitment Met</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Commitment in Progress</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Commitment Not Met</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Insufficient Information Available</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Description of the finding:**
The Company’s dissolving pulp supplier list was provided and shared. All dissolving pulp mills are known.
The exact information in terms of forest of origins for each pulp supplier are not known. Detailed assessment please refer to findings on indicator 4.2

5.2 The MMCF producer has developed procedure for engaging with suppliers, up to withdrawing from purchase and other agreements in situations where non-conformance is found. **

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conformance with Indicator:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐ Not Applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☑ Commitment Met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Commitment in Progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Commitment Not Met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Insufficient Information Available</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(Note: This means potential legal and contractual issues associated with withdrawal are identified and addressed.)

**Description of the finding:**
The Company included the policy and CanopyStyle requirement in supplier control procedure. The supply agreement defined the situation where the purchase will be stopped. If the non-conformance is found, the company will suspend or decrease the purchase and the supplier must take actions within 6 months. If the issue cannot be addressed within 6 months, the purchase from the supplier will be terminated. Upon determination of high risk for two suppliers, the company terminated sourcing according to this procedure in 2019. See finding 5.3 below.

5.3 The MMCF producer has documented withdrawals from supply agreements where non-conformance has been found.**

**Conformance with Indicator:**
- Not Applicable
- Commitment Met
- Commitment in Progress
- Commitment Not Met
- Insufficient Information Available

**Description of the finding:**
Based on the risk assessment conducted by the company in 2019, two suppliers (JARI CELULOSE PAPEL E EMBALAGENS S/A and Pt.toba pulp lestari tbk) are graded as high risk and the company terminated procurement from these two suppliers (last purchase from JARI CELULOSE PAPEL E EMBALAGENS S/A is March 2019; the last purchase from Pt.toba pulp lestari tbk is February 2018).

**Summary:**
The Company's dissolving pulp supplier list was provided and shared. All dissolving pulp mills are known. The exact information in terms of forest of origins for each pulp supplier are not known. Detailed assessment please refer to findings on indicator 4.2.

The Company included the policy and CanopyStyle requirement in supplier control procedure. Based on the risk assessment conducted by the company in 2019, two suppliers (JARI CELULOSE PAPEL E EMBALAGENS S/A and Pt.toba pulp lestari tbk) are graded as high risk and the company terminated procurement from these two suppliers (last purchase from JARI CELULOSE PAPEL E EMBALAGENS S/A is March 2019; the last purchase from Pt.toba pulp lestari tbk is February 2018).

The company also conducted the risk assessment for their suppliers for 2020, and based on the result, most of them are low risk and only one with potential risk (Cosmo).

The supply agreement defined the situation where the purchase will be stopped. If the non-conformance is found, the company will suspend or decrease the purchase and the supplier must take actions within 6 months. If the issue cannot be addressed within 6 months, the purchase from the supplier will be terminated.
### 6. The MMCF producer welcomes interested stakeholders and Leaders Group observers to verify the implementation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 6.1 When requested, Canopy, Leaders Group and other stakeholder observers are invited to participate freely and to report observations during this verification process. ** | Conformance with Indicator:  
- Not Applicable  
- Commitment Met  
- Commitment in Progress  
- Commitment Not Met  
- Insufficient Information Available  

**Description of the finding:**  
The management confirmed leader group and other stakeholders are welcome to join the verification as observers in the future, and any observations could be reported to the auditor freely.  
This indicator is graded as “Not Applicable” due to the fact that no such practice has happened to date. |
| 6.2 The MMCF producer requires of its supplier to complete large scale scientifically based conservation planning, High Conservation Value assessments, and/or High Carbon Value assessment, identifying areas for protection, has been completed, based on best available science, by a credible third party, and made public. ** | Conformance with Indicator:  
- Not Applicable  
- Commitment Met  
- Commitment in Progress  
- Commitment Not Met  
- Insufficient Information Available  

**Description of the finding:**  
This indicator is required when company’s sourcing has been verified as key priority areas of ancient and endangered forests. Additional information will be acquired when the Cosmo verification audit is conducted. |
| 6.3 If sourcing from controversial areas with records of conflict and human rights violation, an assessment that includes participatory mapping of lands owned or claimed by indigenous and local communities, identification of areas for protection, areas for conflict resolution and remedy of past harms that involve affected parties, their chosen advisors and relevant stakeholders, have been completed by a credible and mutually agreed third party and made public.** | Conformance with Indicator:  
- Not Applicable  
- Commitment Met  
- Commitment in Progress  
- Commitment Not Met  
- Insufficient Information Available  

**Description of the finding:**  
This indicator is only required when company’s sourcing has been verified as coming from areas where there is record of conflict or human rights violations. |
| 6.4 The MMCF producer requires of its supplier to have developed a management plan that identifies and measures to protect areas identified in large scale scientifically based conservation planning, HCV and HCS assessments with the Free, Prior and Informed Consent of indigenous and local communities whose land or land claims are impacted and with input from credible ENGOs.** | Conformance with Indicator:  
- Not Applicable  
- Commitment Met  
- Commitment in Progress  
- Commitment Not Met  
- Insufficient Information Available |
Description of the finding:
This indicator is required when company’s sourcing has been verified as key priority areas of ancient and endangered forests. Additional information will be acquired when the Cosmo verification audit is conducted.

Conformance with Indicator:
- Not Applicable
- Commitment Met
- Commitment in Progress
- Commitment Not Met
- Insufficient Information Available

6.5 The MMCF producer has developed and implemented a time-bound action plan to actively seek the legal protection of these areas with final land-use decision-makers in a way that meets principles of Free, Prior and Informed Consent.**

Conformance with Indicator:
- Not Applicable
- Commitment Met
- Commitment in Progress
- Commitment Not Met
- Insufficient Information Available

Description of the finding:
This indicator is only required when company’s sourcing has been verified as key priority areas of ancient and endangered forests. Additional information will be acquired when the Cosmo verification audit is conducted.

Summary:
The management confirmed leader group and other stakeholders are welcome to join the verification as observers in the future, and any observations could be reported to the auditor freely.
Indicator 6.2-6.5 are only required when company’s sourcing has been verified as key priority areas of ancient and endangered forests. This verification has not yet been completed. Additional information will be acquired when the Cosmo verification audit is conducted.

7. The MMCF producer shall recognize, respect and uphold human rights and the rights of communities and workers affected by the operations of their supply chain and affiliated companies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 7.1 The MMCF producer has developed and requires its suppliers to adopt a similar policy, systems and procedures to implement Free, Prior and Informed Consent of indigenous people and local communities. ** | **Conformance with Indicator:**
- Not Applicable
- Commitment Met
- Commitment in Progress
- Commitment Not Met
- Insufficient Information Available

Description of the finding:
The company requires its suppliers in its sourcing policy to adopt a similar policy, systems and procedures to implement Free, Prior and Informed Consent of indigenous people and local communities. All suppliers have signed a commitment letter that includes a commitment to implement FPIC of indigenous people and local communities.

7.2 Suppliers document how they conform with the MMCF producer’s commitment to recognize and respect human rights, community rights, First Nations rights and rights of workers.**

Conformance with Indicator:
- Not Applicable
- Commitment Met
Commitment in Progress
☐ Commitment Not Met
☐ Insufficient Information Available

Description of the finding:
At the time of the audit, the Company lacked evidence from the suppliers on how they confirm with the commitment to recognize and respect human rights, community rights, First Nations rights and rights of workers, at the time of this audit.
AustroCel Hallein, Domsjo, Sodra as well as Cosmo have signed a commitment letter that include a commitment to be in compliance with the requirements set from the ILO on worker’s rights and basic principles in the workplace and to make the same demands on their suppliers.
Stora Enso provided its human rights policy. As all suppliers hold certification (either FSC or PEFC) and have provided sourcing policies, this indicator is being graded as in process.

7.3 The MMCF producer and its suppliers show responsible handling of complaints and resolution of conflicts in a transparent and accountable manner that is mutually agreed by the parties and includes relevant stakeholders.
Conformance with Indicator:
☐ Not Applicable
☐ Commitment Met
☒ Commitment in Progress
☐ Commitment Not Met
☐ Insufficient Information Available

Description of the finding:
The company is FSC certified and also has a public grievance operation procedure featured for Canopy to accept and handle complaints.
The suppliers (brokers) as well as 3 out of 5 dissolving pulp mills are FSC COC certified companies which provides some evidence of conformance to this indicator, as FSC COC certified companies are required to have such a mechanism for their certified scope.
Other dissolving pulp mills like Cosmo and AustroCel Hallein have PEFC certificate which also requires a complaints mechanism and resolution. (however, the procurement records checked during this audit from Cosmo doesn’t have relevant claim, which lower the confidence in this regard).

Last but not least, Stora Enso have both FSC and PEFC certificate which further contribute to evidence of conformance to this indicator.

Because not all materials sourced are received with certification claims, this indicator is being graded as in progress.
7.4 The MMCF producer and its suppliers have developed internal capacity and Company structure to recognize and respect the rights of its workers.

**Conformance with Indicator:**
- Not Applicable
- ✔ Commitment Met
- □ Commitment in Progress
- □ Commitment Not Met
- □ Insufficient Information Available

**Description of the finding:**
This company has developed internal capacity and Company structure to recognize and respect the rights of its workers, such as labor union. Relevant documentations are provided to the auditor such as Labor Union Management Manual, Collective Contract, Staff Handbook, Anti-discrimination, Anti-harassment, and Anti-abuse management procedures, Report on the investigation of equal and fair treatment of employees, etc.

The company is FSC COC certified.

It holds a valid Occupational Health and Safety Management System Certificate (ISO45001:2018); all workers have signed labour contracts and the company pays for their social insurance. Labor contract sample and salary records are checked by the auditor.

The suppliers are either PEFC or FSC COC certified companies which could contribute to the conformance to this indicator, as i) for FSC COC certified Companies are required to sign the policy for association is a declaration by the company that they are not involved in directly or indirectly with the activities that can violate workers’ rights; ii) for PEFC certificate, it also requires recognize and respect the rights of its workers.

AustroCel Hallein, Domsjo, Sodra as well as Cosmo have signed a commitment letter that include a commitment to be in compliance with the requirements set from the ILO on worker’s rights and basic principles in the workplace and to make the same demands on their suppliers. In addition, Stora Enso provided its human rights policy.

7.5 The MMCF producer has developed procedures to ensure its Tier one suppliers uphold the International Labour Company (ILO) Declaration on Fundamental Principles and

**Conformance with Indicator:**
- Not Applicable
- ✔ Commitment Met
- □ Commitment in Progress
Rights at Work and will require the equivalent of their own suppliers.

- Commitment Not Met
- Insufficient Information Available

**Description of the finding:**
The company has requested the supplier to comply with CanopyStyle framework requirements and included it into contracts with the suppliers. AustroCel Hallein, Domsjo, Sodra as well as Cosmo have signed a commitment letter that include a commitment to be in compliance with the requirements set from the ILO on worker’s rights and basic principles in the workplace and to make the same demands on their suppliers.

All suppliers are FSC or PEFC certified companies and which could provide a high level of confidence that ILO is upheld via certification requirements. However, the procurement records checked during this audit from Cosmo does not have relevant claim, which lower the confidence in this regard.

Updated on June 24 with further evidence provided from the company:
A procedure has been developed to verify on the suppliers and sub suppliers' performance in regard of corporate social responsibility, where the verifications of the ILO’s requirements have been included. The main contents contain verification cycle, method, files checklists (e.g. child labor, recruitment procedure, forced labor, H&S, freedom of association, non-discrimination, working hours, compensation, the rights of indigenous peoples and local communities.)

7.6 Recognition and respect for human rights is demonstrated by the MMCF producer and its pulp suppliers. There is no evidence of avoiding or failing to resolve social conflicts and remedy past or current human rights violations. **

- Conformance with Indicator:
  - Not Applicable
  - Commitment Met
  - Commitment in Progress
  - Commitment Not Met
  - Insufficient Information Available

**Description of the finding:**
Recognition and respect for human right is included in the Company’s policy.

Also, the company is FSC certified, which means that they have signed the Policy for Association, which declared that they are not involved in activities that violate human rights. Within the Company, there is a labour union which actively engages in the management of the Company.
The Company and all suppliers are FSC or PEFC certified companies. However, the procurement records checked during this audit from Cosmo does not have relevant claim, which lower the confidence in this regard. This indicator is a summary requirement of 7.1-7.5 and thus is being graded as in progress.

Summary:
Recognise, respect and uphold human rights and the rights of communities and workers was included in the Company’s policy. All suppliers have signed a commitment letter that includes a commitment to implement FPIC of indigenous people and local communities. AustroCel Hallein, Domsjo, Sodra as well as Cosmo have signed a commitment letter that include a commitment to be in compliance with the requirements set from the ILO on worker’s rights and basic principles in the workplace and to make the same demands on their suppliers. Stora Enso provided its human rights policy.

All suppliers are FSC or PEFC certified companies and which could provide a high level of confidence that ILO is upheld via certification requirements and the responsible handling of complaints and resolution of conflicts is in place. However, the procurement records checked during this audit from Cosmo does not have relevant claim, which lower the confidence in this regard.

The Company holds FSC certification.

8. Development of Innovative and Alternative Fiber

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8.1 The MMCF producer has developed and implemented an internal action plan to collaborate with innovative companies and suppliers to explore and encourage the development of new alternative fiber sources that reduce environmental and social impacts, such as agricultural residues and recycled fibers.**</td>
<td>Conformance with Indicator:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Not Applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☒ Commitment Met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Commitment in Progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Commitment Not Met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Insufficient Information Available</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Description of the finding:
Fiber Recycling Technology for Recycling Waste Textiles

In January 2021, the company founded “Bailu New Material Research Academy”, where a R&D team is established to work on the "Fiber Recycling Technology for Recycling Waste Textiles" focusing on producing the fiber by using recycled cotton fabrics and set up the "Xinxiang Chemical Fibre Co., Ltd. R&D Project Plan", which clarified the person in charge and the R&D time.

In 2020, the company launched BaiLu-ECOTM sustainable viscose filament products. The raw materials come from Swedish Sodra recycled pulp (recycled cotton fibre accounts for 5%); The production process meets the STeP by OEKO-TEX (level 3) requirements, and the fibre production line has completed the EUBAT audit.
Bailu has been working with Innovation Academy for Green Manufacturing, Chinese Academy of Sciences on the Pilot research and development of ionic liquid regenerated cellulose, animal keratin and its composite fiber starting from February 2018. The small-scale experiment is now completed. Bailu is planning to start the pilot production with a 100-ton production capacity in 2021.

8.2 The research and development phase for the production of pulp and cellulosic fiber made from alternative fiber sources has been successfully completed and the MMCF producer is entering a commercial scale phase.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conformance with Indicator:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commitment Met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commitment in Progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commitment Not Met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insufficient Information Available</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Description of the finding:**

The project for Fiber Recycling Technology for Recycling Waste Textiles currently is still under the R&D phase.

Bailu-ECOTM sustainable viscose filament products have been put into production. By the time of the audit, 22 tons of Bailu-ECOTM sustainable viscose filament products have been produced but have not been delivered. It is expected to have another batch of recycled pulp to be arrived in May 2021. Updated on Oct 21, the 22 tons of Bailu-ECOTM sustainable viscose filament products have been sold out.

**Summary:**

In January 2021, the company established a R&D team for "Fiber Recycling Technology for Recycling Waste Textiles", and set up the "Xinxiang Chemical Fibre Co., Ltd. R&D Project Plan. In the year of 2020, the company launched Bailu-ECOTM sustainable viscose filament products. The raw materials come from Swedish Sodra recycled pulp (recycled cotton fibre accounts for 5%); The production process meets the STeP by OEKO-TEX (level 3) requirements, and the fibre production line has completed the EUBAT audit.
## 9. Advocacy for conservation solutions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 9.1 The MMCF producer has a track record of participating in events and taking actions that support collaborative and visionary system solutions that aim to protect remaining ancient and endangered forests. ** | **Conformance with Indicator:**
- [ ] Not Applicable
- [x] Commitment Met
- [ ] Commitment in Progress
- [ ] Commitment Not Met
- [ ] Insufficient Information Available

**Description of the finding:**
On February 25, 2021, the company participated in the Collaboration for Sustainable Viscose webinar on the 2025 roadmap as a member of CV Alliance. At that time they did a presentation which highlighted the importance of forest conservation. Canopy also participated and submitted comments.

| 9.2 When prompted, the MMCF producer uses its brand influence or purchasing influence to positively impact conservation and development solutions including Free, Prior and Informed Consent of affected indigenous and local communities.** | **Conformance with Indicator:**
- [x] Not Applicable
- [ ] Commitment Met
- [ ] Commitment in Progress
- [ ] Commitment Not Met
- [ ] Insufficient Information Available

**Description of the finding:**
The organization requested all suppliers make commitments on FPIC of indigenous people and local communities, but since the company hasn’t been prompted, this indicator is graded as Not Applicable.

| 9.3 The MMCF producer publicly supports large scale scientifically based conservation solutions, international and national target(s) and programs for preserving designated protected and conservation areas including the Free, Prior and Informed Consent of affected indigenous and local communities. | **Conformance with Indicator:**
- [ ] Not Applicable
- [ ] Commitment Met
- [x] Commitment in Progress
- [ ] Commitment Not Met
- [ ] Insufficient Information Available

**Description of the finding:**
From 2010 to 2012, the company invested CNY 800,000 in afforestation in Taihang Mountain. It has also supported conservation of ancient and endangered forests publicly.

| 9.4 The MMCF producer is developing and implementing specific programs to increase the endangered species population and the maintenance of their habitat through time, with government and/or ENGO programs.** | **Conformance with Indicator:**
- [ ] Not Applicable
- [ ] Commitment Met
- [x] Commitment in Progress
- [ ] Commitment Not Met
- [ ] Insufficient Information Available


Summary:
The Company has positively engaged in meeting and events. The organization requested all suppliers make commitments on FPIC of indigenous people and local communities, but the company hasn't been prompted for specific actions yet.

10. Responsible forest management

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10.1 The MMCF producer has defined criteria for responsible forest management, gives a preference for FSC certification and has developed and implemented an action plan to increase FSC intake. **</td>
<td>Conformance with Indicator:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Not Applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☑ Commitment Met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Commitment Not Met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Insufficient Information Available</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Description of the finding:
In the FSC certified pulp purchase plan provided during this audit, it is stated the Company source fibre with the preference for FSC certification. In 2019, the volume in the signed purchase contracts was around 7,000 tons, and the actual materials received in 2019 were around 5,500 tons (approx. 1487 tons were received within the 2018 purchase contract and around 3,000 tons received in 2020).
In 2020, the volume in the signed purchase contracts was around 9100 tons, and the actual materials received in 2020 were around 9,200 tons (another 2925 tons within the contract has arrived in 2021).
For the next 3 years, the FSC dissolving pulp intake plan is:
2021 - 9,200 tons
2022 - 9,200 tons
2023- 9,200 tons
The FSC intake for the next 3 years will be checked in the next audit.

Summary:
In the FSC certified pulp purchase plan provided during this audit, it is stated the Company source fibre with the preference for FSC certification. In 2019, the volume in the signed purchase contracts was around 7,000 tons, and the actual materials received in 2019 were around 5,500 tons (approx. 1487 tons were received within the 2018 purchase contract and around 3,000 tons received in 2020).

In 2020, the volume in the signed purchase contracts was around 9100 tons, and the actual materials received in 2020 were around 9,200 tons (another 2925 tons within the contract has arrived in 2021).

For the next 3 years, the FSC dissolving pulp intake plan is:
2021- 9,200 tons; 2022 – 9,200 tons; 2023- 9,200 tons
The FSC intake for the next 3 years will be checked in the next audit.

11. Reduction of Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Footprint by Recognizing the importance of forests and peatlands as carbon storehouses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 11.1 The MMCF producer has procedures to evaluate their suppliers’ performance in reducing GHG. | Conformance with Indicator:  
- Not Applicable  
- Commitment Met  
- Commitment in Progress  
- Commitment Not Met  
- Insufficient Information Available  

Description of the finding:
According to the company standard SOP, when adding up new suppliers, the company requires the candidates to provide a series of qualification documentations, including the requirement on reducing GHG (e.g. sustainable development report, certificates such as related to STEP by OEKO-TEX, carbon footprint, ZDHC, BSCI, Higg Index).

Updated on June 24 with further evidence from the company:
The company has developed a procedure to evaluate suppliers’ performance in reducing the GHG. Main content covers: evaluation cycle and evaluation method. The evaluation is planned to be conducted via a questionnaire with questions examples:

1. provide the goal on reducing the GHG
2. do you have an action plan in GHG reduction/Energy Use Improvement?
3. do you have any action plan in using the renewable energy?
4. comparing with last year, have you succeeded in reducing GHG? If yes, please provide detailed information.

In addition, Stora Enso provided its policy for energy and carbon.

| 11.2 MMCF producer has procedures to know whether their suppliers are sourcing from tropical peatlands and/or intact forest landscapes. |
| Conformance with Indicator: |
| ☑ Commitment in Progress |
| Description of the finding: |
| The company developed the procedure requiring suppliers to provide their sourcing information and documents up to the forest level. According to the company’s internal assessment for its suppliers, all supply chain maps are either mapped up to country level or sub-national/regional level. No detailed forest level evidence is provided except that Domsjo provided its forest map and also provided the results by using the Forest Mapper, which confirm no sourcing from ancient and endangered forests and IFLs. Details kindly refer to section 4.2. There is currently no evidence of sourcing from tropical peatlands. |

| 11.3 The MMCF producer can document giving preference to suppliers that are not operating in intact forest landscapes or on drained tropical peatlands and that have identified, withdrawn from and are restoring peatlands and their hydrology. ** |
| Conformance with Indicator: |
| ☑ Commitment Met |
| Description of the finding: |
| Due Diligence procedures are developed to eliminate any ancient & endangered forest source, any controversial source including tropical peatlands. The suppliers are graded as 3 levels: high risk, low risk and unknown source. Preferences are given to the low risk suppliers. |

Summary:
According to the company standard SOP, when adding up new suppliers, the company requires the candidates to provide a series of qualification documentations, including the requirement on reducing GHG (e.g. sustainable development report, certificates such as related to STEP by OEKO-TEX, carbon footprint, ZDHC, BSCI, Higg Index). However, the company didn’t establish procedures to evaluate suppliers’ performance in reducing GHG.

The company developed the procedure requiring suppliers to provide their sourcing information and documents up to the forest level. According to the company’s internal assessment for its suppliers, all supply chain maps are either mapped up to country level or sub-national/regional level. No detailed forest level evidence is provided except that Domsjo provided its forest map and also provided the results by using the Forest Mapper, which confirm no sourcing from ancient and endangered forests and IFLs. Details kindly refer to section 4.2. There is currently no evidence of sourcing from tropical peatlands.

Due Diligence procedures are developed to eliminate any ancient & endangered forest source, any controversial source including tropical peatlands. The suppliers are graded as 3 levels: high risk, low risk and unknown source. Preferences are given to the low-risk suppliers.

12. Pollution Prevention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 12.1 This verification process **will not** address the pulp and viscose manufacturing process which can lead to air and water emissions that impact overall environmental quality. Canopy expects MMCF producers to invest in and use the cleanest dissolving pulp and viscose manufacturing technology (i.e. lyocell process), and to implement the ZDHC new viscose guidelines available at https://www.roadmaptozero.com/post/zdhc-man-made-cellulosic-guidelines-released | **Conformance with Indicator:**
- [ ] Not Applicable
- [ ] Commitment Met
- [ ] Commitment in Progress
- [ ] Commitment Not Met
- [ ] Insufficient Information Available

**Description of the finding:**
The CanopyStyle audit will not report on this indicator. Other tools such as the Hot Button report will address chemical management starting in 2020.

Of note however, that the company has obtained the EUBAT certification. The company also is a proactive participant in terms of ZDHC. According to the company, SGS has conducted wastewater sampling on February 22-23, 2021.

In 2017, the company, together with China Textile Research Institute and other units, declared the national key research and development project. This project focused on the development and demonstration of efficient spinning of differentiated regenerated cellulose fiber and cleaner production technology. The project ended in June 2021 and now this technology has been implemented in the production.

In January 2018, the company obtained the acceptance of the clean production audit by the Henan Provincial Environmental Protection Department. In December 2020, the company had the clean production audit conducted.
again, the final report hasn’t been completed yet.

**Summary:**
The CanopyStyle audit will not report on this indicator.

Other tools such as the Hot Button report will address chemical management starting in 2020.

** Indicates Critical Indicators
Appendix B: standard checklist (CanopyStyle Verification Framework – Viscose Mill 1 Checklist)

1. Evaluation of Site: New site

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primary Responsible Person: (Responsible for control system at site(s))</th>
<th>Zhu Xuexin, Deputy Production Manager</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Auditor(s):</td>
<td>Peiying Zhou</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| People Interviewed, Titles: | - Yanjun Shen, production management  
- Wang Dehou, warehouse  
- Xing Tao, warehouse  
- Xuexin Zhu, deputy production manager  
- Xinting Li, raw material input control  
- Jisheng Fan, workshop  
- Liping Zhang, production  
- Junjiang Xiao, Technician, Bailu New Materials Research Institute  
- Yanmei Hao, Labour Union  
- Chongxiang Zhao, Technician, Production Management Division  
- Jiagui Geng, Technician, Energy Saving Office  
- Junjiang Jia, Director of Corporate Management Department  
- Suling Liu, Assistant to the Director of Corporate Management Department  
- Jujie Ruan, Certifier, Corporate Management Department  
- Quanfang Li, Certifier, Corporate Management Department |

Brief Overview of Audit Process for this Location: Please refer to Section 3.3 above for Description of Overall Audit Process.

Comments: This mill is responsible for viscose filament rayon production and material and products storage without involvement in pulp sourcing.

2. Standard Checklist

1. The MMCF producer has publicly communicated and is implementing their Fiber Sourcing/Forest Policy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1. Key managers at each production site/mill are aware of the Policy and demonstrate a similar commitment to implement it.** | Conformance with Indicator:  
- Not Applicable  
- Commitment Met  
- Commitment in Progress  
- Commitment Not Met  
- Insufficient Information Available |

Description of the finding:
The policy was public on the website: [http://www.bailu.cn/newsinfo/1294445.html](http://www.bailu.cn/newsinfo/1294445.html)

The mill conducted several training sessions on the policy and Canopy requirements before the audit. Training records were provided for auditor review.

Auditor interviewed key managers of this mill who are aware of wood sourcing policy, definition of Ancient and Endangered Forests and controversial sources.

1.11 Each production site/mill’s managers have developed procedures to implement the Policy, when relevant.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conformance with Indicator:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[ ] Not Applicable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[x] Commitment Met</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] Commitment in Progress</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] Commitment Not Met</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] Insufficient Information Available</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Description of the finding:
The Company shared the standard operational procedure with the mill that ensures the wood sourcing policy is implemented.
The material from different suppliers can be clearly identified with identification card.

Summary:
Wood pulp procedure has been established and made public on the website of the Company. Trainings on related procedures and Wood Sourcing Policy has been held. The managers are aware of the policy and procedures.

2. Sourcing from transparent supply chains that are in conformance with the policy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>Findings</th>
<th>Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.6 The production site/mill maintains all purchase and sales, documentation related to the wood fiber inputs. Such documentation includes an identification of the forest of origin.</td>
<td>Conformance with Indicator:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[x] Not Applicable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[x] Commitment Met</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[ ] Commitment in Progress</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[ ] Commitment Not Met</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[ ] Insufficient Information Available</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Description of the finding:
The mill is not responsible for sourcing of wood pulp.
The material input and sales are documented. The production workshop and warehouse maintain records of the quantity of pulp from different suppliers. The warehouse keeps the records of production delivery.

| 2.7 The mill/production site maintains all delivery documentation received with the wood fiber inputs. Such documentation includes an identification of the forest of origin. | Conformance with Indicator: |  |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| | [ ] Not Applicable |  |
| | [x] Commitment Met |  |
| | [ ] Commitment in Progress |  |
| | [ ] Commitment Not Met |  |
| 2.8 When sourcing from certified or verified land origin, the supplier code and claim for the applicable third-party verification is included on sales and delivery documentation. | ![Conformance with Indicator](https://example.com)  
**Description of the finding:**  
The mill is not responsible for sourcing of wood pulp.  
The mill maintains the delivery documents of wood pulp, including commercial invoice, packing list, bill of lading, certificate of origin, etc, according to the sourcing office. However, the forest of origin is not indicated on this documentation. |
| 2.9 The production site maintains a summary of annual purchases and then sales to the MMCF producer. | ![Conformance with Indicator](https://example.com)  
**Description of the finding:**  
The mill is not responsible for sourcing of wood pulp.  
The certification code and claim are included on the commercial invoice and packing list from the suppliers, including AustroCel Hallein, Stora Enso, Sodra and Domsjo. |
| 2.10 Producer provides outgoing transportation documents that include the forest/plantation of origin and certification status if relevant | ![Conformance with Indicator](https://example.com)  
**Description of the finding:**  
The mill is not responsible for sourcing of wood pulp.  
The corporate office maintains the records of annual purchase and sales. |
The trade and transport documents from the suppliers carry the FSC/PEFC certificate code and claim when relevant. However, the delivery note does not include the forest/plantation of origin.

Summary:
The mill is not involved in or responsible for purchasing. The delivery documentation is maintained. Certificate code and claim are included in the invoice and packing list from certified suppliers. However, none of the trade and transport documents include the forest of origin.

4. Sourcing from Ancient and Endangered Forests and other controversial sources have been eliminated.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.4 The production site/mill is aware of all relevant local, national and</td>
<td>Conformance with Indicator:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>international laws and there is no evidence of non-compliance, with local,</td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>national or international laws.**</td>
<td>Commitment Met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Commitment in Progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Commitment Not Met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Insufficient Information Available</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Description of the finding:
The interviews to the management confirmed they were aware all relevant laws and regulations.
Auditor reviewed the legally required documents in China, such as business licence, environment impact assessment, export license, etc.

There is no news and information disclosed that the organize is non-compliance with national and/or international laws. In addition, the company holds a number of third-party certificates, including:
- ISO9001:2015 quality management system
- FSC Chain of Custody
- ISO14001:2015 Environment Management System
- ISO45001: 2018 Occupational Health and Safety Management System

| Conformance with Indicator: |
| Not Applicable |
| Commitment Met |
| Commitment in Progress |
| Commitment Not Met |
| Insufficient Information Available |

4.5 Production site/mill understands the definitions of Ancient and Endangered forests and controversial sources. They also comply with the commitment to not procure wood from Ancient and Endangered forests and controversial sources.

Description of the finding:
The mill is not responsible for sourcing of wood pulp. By interview, the management of the mill demonstrated their understandings on Ancient and Endangered Forests and controversial sources. The company has conducted their annual risk assessment on their supply chains to understand the risk of sourcing from Ancient and Endangered Forests and other controversial sources. However, not all suppliers can be verified as low risk. Details, please refer to Appendix A indicator 4.1-4.5.

4.6 Production mills have conducted assessment of presence of Ancient and Endangered Forests and other controversial forests in their wood supply areas.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conformance with Indicator:</th>
<th>Not Applicable</th>
<th>Commitment Met</th>
<th>Commitment in Progress</th>
<th>Commitment Not Met</th>
<th>Insufficient Information Available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Description of the finding:</td>
<td>The assessment was conducted by sourcing department at headquarters. Detailed findings please refer to section 2 and 4 of the checklist for Corporate Sourcing (headquarters).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.7 The sourcing from regions that contain Ancient and Endangered forests and other controversial sources is verified to low risk by this CanopyStyle audit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conformance with Indicator:</th>
<th>Not Applicable</th>
<th>Commitment Met</th>
<th>Commitment in Progress</th>
<th>Commitment Not Met</th>
<th>Insufficient Information Available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Description of the finding:</td>
<td>Risk assessment and supplier assessment were conducted by the group headquarters. Detailed findings please refer to section 2 and 4 of the checklist for Corporate Sourcing (headquarters).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Summary:**
The interview with the management proves they were aware all relevant laws and regulations. There is no news and information disclosed that the company is non-compliant with national and/or international laws. Key managers of each position demonstrated awareness of definitions of Ancient and Endangered Forests and controversial sources. Supplier assessment and material sourcing risk were evaluated by the headquarters.

### 5. Actions if suppliers contravene criteria
### Indicators

5.4 Production sites/mills have a documented program for monitoring performance of suppliers which includes procedures for identifying non-conformances to the CanopyStyle policy and sanctions to suppliers in such cases where non-conformances are identified.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conformance with Indicator:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☑ Not Applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Commitment Met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Commitment in Progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Commitment Not Met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Insufficient Information Available</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Description of the finding:**
Supplier performance monitoring program was conducted by the headquarters.

**Summary:** Supplier performance monitoring program was conducted by the headquarters.

---

### Findings

7. Recognize, respect and uphold human rights and the rights of communities and workers affected by the operations of their supply chain and affiliated companies.

Please refer to assessment for section 7 under Annex A for this indicator.

**Summary:**
Recognise, respect and uphold human rights and the rights of communities and workers was included in the Company's policy. All suppliers have signed a commitment letter that includes a commitment to implement FPIC of indigenous people and local communities. AustroCel Hallein, Domsjo, Sodra as well as Cosmo have signed a commitment letter that include a commitment to comply with the requirements set from the ILO on worker’s rights and basic principles in the workplace and to make the same demands on their suppliers. Stora Enso provided its human rights policy. All suppliers are FSC or PEFC certified companies and which could provide a high level of confidence that ILO is upheld via certification requirements and the responsible handling of complaints and resolution of conflicts is in place. However, the procurement records checked during this audit from Cosmo does not have relevant claim, which lowers the confidence in this regard. The Company holds FSC Chain of Custody certification.
1. Evaluation of Site: Old site-Xinxiang City

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primary Responsible Person:</th>
<th>Yongxin Yao, Deputy Production Manager</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Auditor(s):</td>
<td>Peiying Zhou</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| People Interviewed, Titles:       | - Yongxin Yao, deputy production manager
- Chiqian Liu, Technical department
- Lei Shi, Technical department
- Yao Li, raw material input control
- Jinhai Feng, workshop
- Yanfan Guo, workshop
- Lutao Zhu, warehouse
- Qingjun Zhang, warehouse
- Junjiang Xiao, Technician, Bailu New Materials Research Institute
- Yanmei Hao, Labour Union
- Chongxiang Zhao, Technician, Production Management Division
- Jiagu Geng, Technician, Energy Saving Office
- Junjiang Jia, Director of Corporate Management Department
- Suling Liu, Assistant to the Director of Corporate Management Department
- Jujie Ruan, Certifier, Corporate Management Department Quanfang Li, Certifier, Corporate Management Department

Brief Overview of Audit Process for this Location: Please refer to Section 3.3 above for Description of Overall Audit Process.

Comments: This mill is responsible for viscose filament rayon production and material and products storage without involvement in pulp sourcing.

2. Standard Checklist

1. The MMCF producer has publicly communicated and is implementing their Fiber Sourcing/Forest Policy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1.10 Key managers at each production site/mill are aware of the Policy and demonstrate a similar commitment to implement it.** | **Conformance with Indicator:**
|                                                                           | ☑ Not Applicable
|                                                                           | ☑ Commitment Met
|                                                                           | ☐ Commitment in Progress
|                                                                           | ☐ Commitment Not Met
|                                                                           | ☐ Insufficient Information Available

Description of the finding:
The policy was public on the website: http://www.bailu.cn/newsinfo/1294445.html

The Company organized several training sessions on the policy and Canopy requirements before the audit. Training records were provided for auditor review:

- Training for Purchasing department, Feb 17-18 2021;
- Training for filament production workshop, Jan 18-Feb 5, 2020;

Auditor interviewed key managers of this mill who are aware of wood sourcing policy, definition of Ancient and Endangered Forests and controversial sources.

1.11 Each production site's/mill's managers have developed procedures to implement the Policy, when relevant.**

Conformance with Indicator:

- [ ] Not Applicable
- [x] Commitment Met
- [ ] Commitment in Progress
- [ ] Commitment Not Met
- [ ] Insufficient Information Available

Description of the finding:

The Company shared the standard operational procedure with the mill that ensures the wood sourcing policy is implemented.

The material from different suppliers can be clearly identified with identification card.

Summary:
Wood pulp procedure has been established and made public on the website of the Company. Trainings on related procedures and Wood Sourcing Policy has been held. The managers are aware of the policy and procedures.

2. Sourcing from transparent supply chains that are in conformance with the policy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 2.6 The production site/mill maintains all purchase and sales, documentation related to the wood fiber inputs. Such documentation includes an identification of the forest of origin. | Conformance with Indicator:  
- Not Applicable  
- Commitment Met  
- Commitment in Progress  
- Commitment Not Met  
- Insufficient Information Available  

Description of the finding:  
The mill is not responsible for sourcing of wood pulp.  
The material input and sales are documented. The production workshop and warehouse maintain records of the quantity of pulp from different suppliers. The warehouse keeps the records of production delivery. |

| 2.7 The mill/production site maintains all delivery documentation received with the wood fiber inputs. Such documentation includes an identification of the forest of origin. | Conformance with Indicator:  
- Not Applicable  
- Commitment Met  
- Commitment in Progress  
- Commitment Not Met  
- Insufficient Information Available  

Description of the finding:  
The mill is not responsible for sourcing of wood pulp.  
The mill maintains the delivery documents of wood pulp, including commercial invoice, packing list, bill of lading, certificate of origin, etc, according to the sourcing office. However, the forest of origin is not indicated on the documentation. |

| 2.8 When sourcing from certified or verified land origin, the supplier code and claim for the applicable third-party verification is included on sales and delivery documentation. | Conformance with Indicator:  
- Not Applicable  
- Commitment Met  
- Commitment in Progress  
- Commitment Not Met  
- Insufficient Information Available  

Description of the finding:  
The mill is not responsible for sourcing of wood pulp. |
The certification code and claim are included on the commercial invoice and packing list from the suppliers, including AustroCel Hallein, Stora Enso, Sodra and Domsjo.

### 2.9 The production site maintains a summary of annual purchases and then sales to the MMCF producer.

**Conformance with Indicator:**
- Not Applicable
- Commitment Met
- Commitment in Progress
- Commitment Not Met
- Insufficient Information Available

**Description of the finding:**

The mill is not responsible for sourcing of wood pulp.

The corporate office maintains the records of annual purchase and sales.

### 2.10 All Suppliers provide outgoing transportation documents that include the forest/plantation of origin and certification status if relevant.

**Conformance with Indicator:**
- Not Applicable
- Commitment Met
- Commitment in Progress
- Commitment Not Met
- Insufficient Information Available

**Description of the finding:**

The mill is not responsible for sourcing of wood pulp.

The trade and transport documents from the suppliers carry FSC/PEFC certificate code and claim when relevant.

However, the delivery note does not include the forest/plantation of origin.

**Summary:**

The mill is not responsible for sourcing of wood pulp. The delivery documentation is maintained. Certificate code and claim are included in the invoice and packing list from suppliers for certified wood fiber. However, none of the trade and transport documents include the forest of origin.

---

### 4. Sourcing from Ancient and Endangered Forests and other controversial sources have been eliminated.

**Indicators**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**4.4 The production site/mill is aware of all relevant local, national and international laws and there is no evidence of non-compliance, with local, national or international laws.**

**Conformance with Indicator:**
- Not Applicable
- Commitment Met
- Commitment in Progress
- Commitment Not Met
- Insufficient Information Available
### Description of the finding:
The interviews to the management confirmed they were aware all relevant laws and regulations. Auditor reviewed the legally required documents in China, such as business licence, environment impact assessment, export license, etc. There is no news and information disclosed that the organize is non-compliant with national and/or international laws. In addition, the company holds a number of third-party certificates, including:
- ISO9001:2015 quality management system
- FSC Chain of Custody
- ISO14001:2015 Environment Management System
- ISO45001: 2018 Occupational Health and Safety Management System

### 4.5 Production site/mill understands the definitions of Ancient and Endangered forests and controversial sources. They also comply with the commitment to not procure wood from Ancient and Endangered forests and controversial sources.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conformance with Indicator:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☑ Not Applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☑ Commitment Met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☑ Commitment in Progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☑ Commitment Not Met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☑ Insufficient Information Available</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Description of the finding:
The mill is not responsible for sourcing of wood pulp.

By interview, the management of the mill demonstrated their understanding of Ancient and Endangered Forests and controversial sources.

The company has conducted their annual risk assessment of their supply chains to understand the risk of sourcing from Ancient and Endangered Forests and other controversial sources. However, not all suppliers can be verified as low risk. Details, please refer to Appendix A indicator 4.1-4.5.

### 4.6 Production mills have conducted assessment of presence of Ancient and Endangered Forests and other controversial forests in their wood supply areas.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conformance with Indicator:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☑ Not Applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☑ Commitment Met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☑ Commitment in Progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☑ Commitment Not Met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☑ Insufficient Information Available</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Description of the finding:
The assessment was conducted by sourcing department at headquarters.
4.7 The sourcing from regions that contain Ancient and Endangered forests and other controversial sources is verified to low risk by this CanopyStyle audit.

Conformance with Indicator:
- Not Applicable
- Commitment Met
- Commitment in Progress
- Commitment Not Met
- Insufficient Information Available

Description of the finding:
Risk assessment and supplier assessment were conducted by the group headquarters.

Detailed findings please refer to section 2 and 4 of the checklist for Corporate Sourcing (headquarters)

Summary:
The interview with the management proves they were aware all relevant laws and regulations. There is no news or information disclosed that the company is non-compliant with national and international laws. Key managers of each position demonstrated awareness of definitions of Ancient and Endanger forests and controversial sources. Supplier assessment and material sourcing risk were evaluated by the headquarters.

5. Actions if suppliers contravene criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 5.4 Production sites/mills have a documented program for monitoring performance of suppliers which includes procedures for identifying non-conformances to the CanopyStyle policy and sanctions to suppliers in such cases where non-conformances are identified. | Conformance with Indicator:
- Not Applicable
- Commitment Met
- Commitment in Progress
- Commitment Not Met
- Insufficient Information Available

Description of the finding:
Supplier performance monitoring program was conducted by the headquarters.

Summary: Supplier performance monitoring program was conducted by the headquarters.

7. Recognize, respect and uphold human rights and the rights of communities and workers affected by the operations of their supply chain and affiliated companies.

Please refer to assessment for section 7 under Annex A for this indicator.

Summary:
Recognise, respect and uphold human rights and the rights of communities and workers was included in the Company's policy. All suppliers have signed a commitment letter that includes a commitment to implement FPIC of indigenous people and local communities.
AustroCel Hallein, Domsjo, Sodra as well as Cosmo have signed a commitment letter that include a commitment to be in compliance with the requirements set from the ILO on worker’s rights and basic principles in the workplace and to make the same demands on their suppliers. Stora Enso provided its human rights policy.

All suppliers are FSC or PEFC certified companies and which could provide a high level of confidence that ILO is upheld via certification requirements and the responsible handling of complaints and resolution of conflicts is in place. However, the procurement records verified during this audit from Cosmo did not have relevant claim, which lowers the confidence in this regard.

The Company holds FSC certification.
Appendix <Enter appendix code>:
RECOMMENDATIONS FOR IMPROVEMENT

The CanopyStyle Initiative has developed these recommendations to provide direction on how companies should address some of the main non-conformities found in this audit report.

These recommendations have been developed by Canopy, presented to the company for feedback, and are provided as an appendix in this audit report for use by the company and auditors, starting immediately after finalization of the audit report.